

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 25, NO. 1

DECEMBER 1999

Big Sister Is Watching You



(See page 5)

The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

□ I know a great many people who agree with Buchanan's view that any child once conceived, whether a Mongoloid idiot or the result of a rape, must be carried to term. I would like to have a vote on whether these same people would be willing to pay a "social tax" of say \$200 a year to care for these children. I have a feeling their votes would not reflect their bleeding heart insanity.

800

□ The N.Y. Times recently had an article, "To Believe in Women," which favorably praised "contributions of lesbians to America. . ." The author urges heterosexual couples to learn from lesbian couples. Another article reports that public attitudes towards homosexuality are pretty much unchanged from 30 years ago, while those of the media and ruling class have reversed. Why?

119

□ Is the U.S. government at war with Iraq? Did Iraqis attack Seattle or Mobile or New Orleans?

522

□ Republicans defined: *wealthy, Israel-philies, homosexuals, insiders*. Democrats defined: *Ditto*.

111

□ I saw where ADL director Abe Foxman was pulling his hair over a supposed 44% anti-Semitic streak in the Russian population. Considering their history for

almost four decades while under those "enlightened Hebrew leaders"—from Trotsky to the Lubyanka Prison's Chief NKVD Commissar and Head Torturer—it's a miracle it isn't 100%. Millions of Christian Russians died at the hands of a totally discredited, Jewish-concocted political system and Jewish-enforced murder system, and Foxy is horrified that the Russians don't adore him! Declaiming, "It's beyond belief that as we enter the 21st century this aberration still exists." Aberration? The Fox is bloody lucky the Russians haven't fallen on his people and torn them to pieces!

782

□ Over 9,000 years ago a man unrelated to later "Native Americans" in the area was killed near Kennewick (WA). If my ancestors were here first, then can I have a casino?

983

□ Donald Trump, Warren Beatty, Cybill Shepherd, "The Body" Ventura. They reckon that if a guy like Bill could be president, they are overqualified! After Clinton, anyone—even O.J., Larry Flynt or Hugh Hefner—could be president!

992

□ Jews are claiming billions in reparations under any thin pretext, however farfetched. An October 15 N.Y. Times piece calls WWII G.I.s "Looters of Jewish Riches." The Chosen would dearly love to slap the Catholic Church with a multi-billion-buck claim, but they haven't quite got enough chutzpah. How long will it be before legislation is introduced to right these wrongs?

120

□ TV news or chat shows routinely interview prominent experts in various field. Virtually all are white, nearly all men. They'd give anything for a black female biogeneticist.

400

□ The vulgarity, stupidity and criminality of Negroes in America defies exaggeration, but white promotion of it is much worse. Blacks know no better. We used to.

120

□ There have been innumerable discussions on the abortion issue. Virtually all focus on the legal, moral and even aesthetic aspects of this question. Only rare-

ly has the crucially important aspect of the question been brought up: Is abortion eugenic or dysgenic? Has abortion, in the majority of cases deprived us of the more capable future components of society? Or has the legalization of abortion prevented, in the majority of cases, the birth of persons who are essentially a burden on society? For the future of our country these issues need careful, objective study.

741

□ If patience is a virtue, I have a sure-fire way to make oneself virtuous. On Saturday and Sunday evenings turn on either ESPN or your local sports news and check the college/pro-football highlights. Then see how long it takes before you get to witness a white man score a touchdown.

752

□ For over a millennium the most splendid specimens of Nordic man flourished at the strategic center of the Eurasian world island, Sinkiang. The virtues of the white race are many. Sadly fecundity is not among them.

947

□ Funny what you can perceive by tuning into people's vibes. While lunching at a Miami Subs Grill (pretty decent food by the way), I glanced at a tall, Nordic woman waiting in line. She had a grim mask-like visage. My immediate impression: this woman's got a problem! I don't know what it is, but she's got a problem.

CONTENTS

Pope or Prophet?	4
Big Sister Is Watching You.	5
Old Joe's Famine Cooked Up?	10
Underreported Guglag Atrocities. . .	11
Driven to Kill.	12
The New Siberia?	13
Blockbuster Conference.	15
The Majority Must Vote Majority. .	16
Anti-Dysgenics Campaign.	17
Time Lapse.	17
Backtalk.	18
Cultural Catacombs.	20
Inklings.	21
Primate Watch.	22
Talking Numbers.	23
Satcom Sam.	24
Waspishly Yours.	25
Notes from the Sceptred Isle. . . .	26
Elsewhere.	27

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.

ISSN 0277-2302

©1999 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

The Safety Valve

A few moments later she was standing behind me and I heard (but did not see) her greet her boyfriend. After listening to the boyfriend mumble a few words of greeting, I turned around in time to see her give a Negro a big hug.

329

□ There's good reason to believe Chenchens are blowing up buildings in Moscow. Liberals are aghast that Russian police are rounding up people who look like Chenchens!

606

□ Hillary's only source of pride is that she got even with Bill long ago by cuckolding him.

899

□ Buchanan makes a good interview in these dull news days. When he mentions the Texas town which has seceded from the U.S. (English prohibited, illegal aliens welcome), his interviewers are uncomfortable. On the one hand they don't want to come right out and say that ceding part of Texas to Mexico is okay. On the other hand, criticizing minorities just isn't done.

557

□ Despite a very costly promotion campaign with multiple full-page ads, talk of an Oscar for Robin Williams' Holocaust-weep, *Jakob the Liar*, opened with a whimper. By its second week it had plummeted from the top-10 box office list. If you were to poll Americans about whether they want yet another "cry for the Chosen" flick, 110% would say they'd had enough. They don't have any way to force us to go. . .yet.

722

□ Our press is silent about China taking over operation of the Panama Canal (a state-owned Chinese firm is actually the front), so vital to our economic and military security. It's surprising that the same Blame America spirit which led us to give up the Canal has not yet led to proposals to "do the right thing" by returning the Southwest to Mexico, with reparations and apologies to follow, of course.

766

□ Juan Gonzalez, a mulatto Puerto Rican who plies his trade for the Texas Rangers Baseball Club, is *un hombre magnifico* in his island homeland. So now El Señor Gonzalez has deigned to devote a small

percentage of the millions he makes every year to the welfare of his people. Is he earmarking the funds for birth control, medical clinics, schools, churches? No, he's setting up a baseball school! Well, that ought to enhance the welfare of the average *puertoriqueno*. God knows we don't have enough Latinos in the major leagues!

257

□ Calling all geography buffs! Is there a city anywhere in America that doesn't have a thoroughfare named after Martin Luther King, Jr.? I've been around a bit, but I haven't seen any exceptions to the rule so far.

224

□ Donald Trump considers Pat Buchanan a nutball as a presidential candidate and "probably an anti-Semite." But who does Trump—himself a potential candidate—want for a veep? Oprah Winfrey! Now who's the nutball!

524

□ Nearly every week a Holocaust flick is on the tube and there are always several yearly on the big screen. Too much? On the contrary, Mrs. Bill Bradley (who has recently come out with a book on the Holocaust) informs us that the subject has barely been touched! Sure could have fooled me.

301

□ When his daughter Monica was servicing Clinton, Dr. Lewinsky seemed oddly indifferent, almost proud. As his buffoonish lawyer remarked, the important thing was how it all affected Israel. Now when "a Lewinsky" is a euphemism for oral sex, the good doctor rushes to defend his reputation. As if anything were left after Monica's self-parodying *Saturday Night Live* appearance.

128

□ Fashion photography is bereft of real black women. Seen now and then are good-looking quadroons. Ubiquitous are blondes and redheads always flanked by black studs.

948

□ Possession is nine-tenths of the law, the saying goes. All depends. Those who once were called Indians say they were here first. Any bone indicating the contrary just better be reburied. On the other hand, Serbs were first in Kosovo. We see how much good it's done them. Jews were absent 2,000 years from Palestine, but their claim to it trumps all others. Estonians and Latvians are envious. They'd like to treat Russians the way Jews treat Arabs.

562

□ In a recent letter to the Globe about the Pinochet affair, Jewish lobby spokesman Bert Raphael expressed satisfaction that here in Canada at least we have not given up on the pursuit of "war criminals." By this he meant, no doubt, the pursuit of doddering old men who might once have been guards in some Nazi concentration camp 60 years ago. In view of Israel's recent promotion to Foreign Minister of one of postwar history's worst "war criminals, Ariel Sharon—and the Jewish community's failure to protest this outrage—isn't Mr. Raphael being just a wee bit hypocritical? How can he justify using such a double standard? Are we supposed to accept that even the most heinous crimes committed by Jews are to be forgotten, swept under the carpet, while crimes against them are protested forever and ever? If former Chilean President Augusto Pinochet can be arrested for his "crimes against humanity," why not Sharon, the Beast of Beirut? Or are people of Mr. Raphael's persuasion demanding, in effect, that Israel and its malefactors should be placed above the law? Not many of us will go along with that!

P.J.L.

Benefits to Israel of U.S. Aid Since 1949 (as of Oct. 31, 1999)

Foreign Aid Grants and Loans	\$80,097,600,000
Other U.S. Aid (12.2% of Foreign Aid)	9,771,907,000
Interest to Israel from Advanced Payments	1,947,000,000
Grand Total	\$91,816,507,200
Total Benefits per Israeli	\$ 15,052

Pope or Prophet?

The discussion swirling around Britisher John Cornwell's new book, *Hitler's Pope: The Secret History of Pius XII*, naturally centers on Pius's failure to speak out against the Nazi Holocaust. As such, it is a gift to those Jewish propagandists who relish the chance to take another swipe at Christianity.

The most interesting thing about this discussion, however, is the confirmation it offers that a far greater "secret history" is still being kept secret! Thanks to the virtual suppression in our schools of half the history of the 20th century, the general public has no idea that the number of Gentiles killed by Jews in this century is many times the number of Jews killed by Gentiles. Nor is the public ever likely to learn that the greatest single crime of our century was not what the Nazis did to the Jews, but what Stalin's Jewish helpers had done to the people of Ukraine only a decade earlier. Yet, none of the commentary one hears on *Hitler's Pope* offers even a hint that Pius had a great deal more to fear from communism than from Nazism.

A newspaper Profile of the author reveals that Cornwell, himself a Catholic, had originally set out to exonerate the Pope. He did not believe the common charge that Pius (Eugenio Pacelli) had kept quiet about the Holocaust because he was an anti-Semite who sympathized with the Nazi regime. Researching the Vatican's archives (to which Cornwell was given access because of his earlier pro-Catholic writings) changed his mind. He discovered that Cardinal Pacelli, then Papal Nuncio to Berlin, had helped to forge the *Reichskonkordat* of 1933 between the Church and Hitler's NSDAP. This deal gave the Vatican more control over Germany's 23 million Catholics in return for the Vatican's agreeing to disband the Catholic Centre Party, which in those days was the chief non-Communist opposition to the Nazi movement. (Curiously omitted from this Profile by Michael Posner, a leading Canadian journalist, is another part of the bargain, one which Hitler honoured right to the end of his rule. This was that the Third Reich should continue remitting to Rome monies raised from Catholic congregations.)

What Cornwell himself seems to have found most damning was the discovery of two prewar letters from Pacelli which leave no doubt of his antipathy towards Jews. Posner writes, "The second letter accused the Jews of plotting the Bolshevik Revolution, a scheme, Pacelli believed, aimed at dismantling the very structure of Christen-

dom and of Western civilization." That this belief should be cited against Pacelli by some people shows the thoroughly brainwashed temper of our times. For Pacelli, as every Instaurationist knows, was right. Zionist and Bolshevik attacks on the freedoms fundamental to our civilization are a fact of life in every Western country. Many an honest man has been punished by French or German courts for disagreeing with views imposed on those countries by their Jewish minorities. Freedom of information is also under siege there, as it is in Canada. Not only do the media operate under a Jewish-influenced self-censorship, but books the Zionists don't want us to read are apt either to be banned by Customs or to go "missing" from our public libraries. (One can only conclude that people so keen on censorship must have a lot to hide!)

As to the religious issue, Pius was well aware that religion is the bane of every Communist dictatorship because it implies that some part of human nature may escape the control of the Party. He also knew that Christianity has been hated for 2,000 years by Jews who originally feared that it might supersede their own more primitive faith, and latterly see its values as an obstacle to their mind-control over the rest of us. Today, therefore, Christianity is subject to mockery and vilification of a kind which the Zionists now running Hollywood and TV could never have allowed themselves in days when their kind was less powerful. (The Talmud advises them to be nice when you're weak, but when you're strong, "in dealing with the goyim, moral considerations need not be taken into account.") The last word on this may be left to Stanley Rothman and S. Robert Lichter, authors of a 1982 book called *Roots of Radicalism—Jews, Christians and the New Left*. "In sum," these two Jews write, "the aim of the Jewish radical is to estrange the Christian from society as he feels estranged by it."

To be fair, the increasing vulgarization of our time may result more from the character of those behind it than from a conscious conspiracy. But in everything from the attempted debunking of Majority heroes to the scatological ravings of a Howard Stern and the latest slaps at Christianity in the Brooklyn Museum of Art, we see what looks very much like a concerted campaign to bring about the dumbing-down of our culture and the degradation of the popular mind. A one-sided attack on Pius XII can only accelerate that process.

PETER J. LORDEN



Pope Pius XII

Big Sister Is Watching You

In recent months I have had occasional conversations with a former schoolteacher who works in my department. As often happens in the workplace, the topic of conversation is frequently what was on TV the night before. We had both seen a news special about tactics used by law enforcement agencies to put drug suspects behind bars. I opined that I disagreed with the police state tactics. The confiscation of assets before trial is a pernicious development. Neither am I overjoyed that suspects were being sent to the pen with no physical evidence against them. All it took was one witness, a former associate, for example, who lied on the stand to save his own skin. Consequently someone tangentially related to a group of druggies was sent up the river for a long time while the real perps went free.

After outlining my objections concerning these tactics to my co-worker, I was somewhat taken aback when the usually mild-mannered young woman rebuffed me and asserted that we had to get drugs and dealers off the streets no matter if due process was distorted, mangled or twisted, much less abandoned. Fascism with a female face? It ain't a pretty sight. Then again, perhaps I shouldn't have been surprised by her reaction. She was a new mother.

Protecting the children has long been an excuse for every kind of social engineering. The gun control crowd in the media knew that the massacre in Littleton, Colorado, was a golden opportunity to promote their agenda under the guise of—you guessed it—protecting the children. Filtering or monitoring the Internet is another popular cause for those who wish to protect humans of tender years. Clinton even managed to work the kids into a foreign policy speech to justify the bombing of Serbia. He asserted that our children (or was it our grandchildren?) deserve to have a free Europe in their future. Considering the long history of Catholic Church authoritarianism, the divine right of kings, the installation of socialism and communism in Europe, one might wonder if that continent has ever been free. No matter. Kids today have more rights than our ancestors could imagine. What's one more?

This concern for children, whether real or as a ruse to conceal a different agenda, seems to grow as female involvement in politics and statism grow apace. We have long been familiar with the stereotype of a politician who kisses babies. I don't know when that came into common currency, but I'd be willing to bet it wasn't around before women were given the right to vote.

The human female, like her mammalian counterparts, is quite capable of taking extraordinary measures to secure the welfare of her offspring (which is as it should be). Without wall-to-wall care, the survival rate for human in-

fants might rival that of baby sea turtles—around 2%. Among human females even the most demure young thing can turn into a tigress when her babies are threatened. Sometimes motherhood brings about a change of personality. Have you ever known a free-spirited party girl who does an about-face after having a child and becomes the most attentive mother imaginable? Certainly the arrival of offspring usually precipitates changes in the human male, but his transformation is nothing like that of the mother, whose power over her infant involves a lot of drudgery and frustration but also something close to omnipotence. Indeed we might classify it as totalitarian. When the hand that rocks the cradle attempts to rule the world from the halls of government, the result is likely to be more government not less.

It goes without saying that human maternal behavior has great survival value for the species. But when the desire for security clashes with the desire for liberty, a fundamental split occurs in society—and it is mostly reflected along gender lines. Sure you can always find female gun enthusiasts or male socialists. By and large, however, civil liberties—the real McCoy, not the ACLU version—are pretty much a male bastion.

Does anyone remember when feminism was called women's liberation? The phrase implied that women wanted to be free of social constraints. Today the term has been superannuated. It is now obvious that if you scratch a feminist, you will find a socialist. What this portends is more, not less, government control, more daycare centers, more quotas (or "guidelines"), more laws, more restrictions, more "civil rights," more social engineering, more class action lawsuits. Sure, feminists call themselves pro-choice when it comes to abortion, but not when it comes to owning guns, certainly not when it comes to freedom of speech, if it conflicts with their world view. In this respect, the woman's movement parallels the black civil rights push. At first it sought removal of state restrictions against the Negro. Then somehow it modulated into state protection of the Negro. Blacks and feminists aren't demanding freedom any more. They're after "social justice" or "economic justice," which usually translates to more goodies for the aggrieved group—and more government interference in the lives of the non-aggrieved. After all, in order to redistribute income more equally, it is first necessary to know how much money the better-off folk have. Try the "right of privacy" argument against the Internal Revenue Service in tax court. Neither does this tactic work too well in civil lawsuits. The discovery process requires defendants to produce mind-boggling volumes of documentation. This fits snugly within the female mindset, as females

really don't believe in privacy. You can't hide anything from the lady of the house. If it's anywhere in her domain, she will find it. She will not think, "Oh, that's my teenage daughter's closet, so I won't look in there to see what she's hiding," or "That's my husband's wallet, not mine, so I won't look inside it." The whole house is hers, no matter who else lives there, does the chores and pays the rent.¹

Monitoring the "children" of the state falls not just to the proverbial jackbooted thugs, but also to the bureaucracies concerned with the implementation of government policy. The image of a police state is almost exclusively male, although the female plays a key role in edging us closer to authoritarianism.² Only rarely will women be wearing jackboots, but they will put on this footwear if it looks like the best bet for security. Does anyone think that Hitler could have gone as far as he did if he didn't have the support of the German *Hausfrau*? With the collapse of communism in the Soviet Union, don't be surprised if women flock to support a strongman style of government. If it is the only realistic form of security available, they will be all for it.

Should the American system collapse, the power vacuum will be filled by the strong. With no government to protect the children, the unfettered strongman will find his once detested masculine behavior back in vogue. Instead of a threat to society, he is becoming the protector of society. It is perhaps this yearning for a strongman that explains the election of Jesse Ventura in otherwise hopelessly liberal Minnesota. After all, an electorate can only take so much of the likes of Senator Paul Wellstone.

In the U.S. the push for more and more female candidates for higher and higher offices strikes me as a danger signal. Does anyone think that we will be a freer people as a result? We need only look to socialist Scandinavia, where some legislatures have mandated that political parties must nominate a prescribed percentage of women to office. Perhaps it was inevitable in developed countries with low birthrates.³ Large families, the norm until recent history, keep women busy. Small families can also serve the same purpose, as female supervision per child tends to expand as the number of children dwindles. Empty-nesters and childless women must seek other outlets for their maternal instincts. For some, the outlets are relatively harmless, such as the SPCA or charity work. For others, the outlet is in social engineering, or protecting other people's children. In the mind and soul of the typical mother, her offspring never stop being children. How often have you heard a woman refer to her adult children as her babies? To the female the umbilical cord is never completely severed. The children (now the citizens) need constant attention. You can't turn your back for a minute. Who knows what they might be up to? If they're playing with dangerous toys (guns, bombs), we must disarm them. If they're flirting with dangerous ideas (racism, nationalism) we must scrub their minds clean.

The state is the female politico's home and she is the queen bee. This is why female pols tend to be reformers

and do-gooders. Loosed in the halls of government, Suzy Homemaker cannot help but see a problem where a man doesn't even see a situation. That wrinkle in the bedspread, that smudge on the curtain, that dust bunny under the sofa—the state's counterparts of these petty problems—will be addressed by her. When queried about a social issue, no matter how trivial, do not expect a female politico to say that it is not within the province of government to solve it or that she has no Constitutional mandate to do so. In that respect the feminists and the ladies' auxiliary of the Christian Coalition have more in common than they realize—and not just in their attitude towards pornography.

Hand in hand with the female instinct to protect the children goes the instinct to make sure that all her children are happy. Can't play favorites. In this particular aspect of motherhood, the mindset that gave birth to socialism is painfully obvious. If one of mom's kids (or one of society's minority misfits) is unhappy, the situation must be swiftly addressed. We must do everything possible (affirmative action, civil rights laws, hate crime laws) to make them feel better about themselves. And it isn't necessary to whine too loudly to get the female's attention. Remember it is she who will awaken at the slightest whimpering of her infant while the male snores away. One of the most damning epithets one can hurl at a politician today is "insensitivity." Almost always this accusation is directed towards a plain-speaking male.

The male's insensitivity parallels his virtually unlimited potential for propagation. Sperm cells are plentiful—for all practical purposes infinite. Female eggs become available at puberty: one per month for approximately 35 years or about 420 total. The male doesn't worry about his biological clock. He can ejaculate twice a day and ten times on Sundays without worrying about his sperm supply (even if the sperm count is not always what it should be). For the female each month a human egg goes unfertilized is another tick on that biological clock that women joke about in the most dire tones of voice. The ticking gets louder as time runs out. But with such an orientation to the finite and the concrete, the female is hard-wired to believe in the uniqueness and irreplaceableness of the individual, which is not to say that she herself is more independent than the male. The male knows reproduction is a cinch, and no matter how egocentric he may be, deep down he knows there are plenty more where he—and his sperm cells—came from. He knows he is expendable, and by extension, so is everyone else. Is there a better explanation for why war and mass murder are the prerogative of the male?

The savvy male politician may not be partial to minorities, but he knows he must appear to be a minority-hugger. The female politician is more likely to actually do something to assuage the hurt feelings of the minorities among her constituents. And don't expect her to laugh at any Negro or Jew jokes. When freedom of speech clashes with civility, we know which side Miss Manners will

come down on. Being polite is more important than the First Amendment, if you can't say anything nice.

In the U.S., when female political candidates are touted, it is often with the assumption the "softer, gentler" agenda of domestic issues will be better addressed by the fair sex. This sentimentalization of the female gender is sexism at its most blatant—but no one ever calls the offenders on the carpet. Feminists, who normally adhere to the environmentalist line, have been known to exalt their gender as nurturing, caring and empathetic. But if you use that as an excuse to keep women out of combat or law enforcement, they can get very hostile indeed! True, they are born nurturers, and if society didn't perpetuate those dastardly sex roles, they could be warriors as well as earth mothers! In pagan times female deities were worshipped for their procreative powers. True enough, ladies, but since you started exalting birth control as a human right, our contemporary goddesses have flat bellies and no stretch marks. The ultimate irony is that the modern white woman, she of the minuscule birthrate, has little concern for children at all! What children? Certainly not yours. If you really wanted to protect that rare white child you deigned to give birth to, would you dump it at a daycare center, a government school or in front of the TV set?

Nothing constricts personal freedom more than child care, which explains why freedom, for the most part, has always been a guy thing. One certainly doesn't hear the Bill of Rights invoked by female politicians to the degree one does by their male counterparts. Men demand freedom, fight for it and occasionally die for it. Throughout history females have traditionally been under more restrictions. Their lives are a nexus of obligations, as evinced by the fact that manners are almost wholly a female realm. Women are the teachers and the arbiters. It was not your father who pushed you to write thank-you notes. If anyone did, it was your mother or perhaps your grandmother. Is that why the female sees nothing wrong with burdening society with more and more regulations? After all, women see such restrictions as natural, so they will not hesitate to implement them legislatively, if given the chance. Perhaps in her heart of hearts, the socialist/feminist gets a kick out of hobbling the male, taking away his freedom, gradually emasculating him and bringing him closer to the status of a kept woman. Indeed, socialism/feminism empowers the unattractive female who can't get a man. By pushing totalitarian political beliefs she can control all men, if not an individual man. School taxes and social welfare programs force males to pay child support, even those who have never sired a child, even those who lead celibate lifestyles or engage in exclusively homosexual practices. If the flaming feminist can't control a man in the microcosm (through marriage), she will control him via the macrocosm (big government).

By subjecting all aspects of human behavior to a bewildering array of regulations, some of them seemingly contradictory, even the most robust man of action eventually considers throwing in the towel. Socialism siphons

power from the individual man to the state. Many a self-employed man or small businessman comes to realize the futility of striving when faced with the realities of modern statism. Taking care of one's clients or customers is nothing compared to keeping the government mollified. Socialism is stifling and confining. Nothing induces passivity more than bureaucracy and regulations. It makes rebellion futile even as it engenders it. Such rebellion usually dissipates itself in meaningless activities, such as rock music, sports, drugs or drinking, none of which is a serious threat to the power of the state.

The Byzantine and contradictory ways of big government are legion. It pays for anti-smoking programs while subsidizing tobacco farmers. It attempts to attract more minority visitors to National Parks while lamenting that said parks are already overcrowded. It warns of the dangers of population growth and all that it portends for the environment, then gives the OK for record numbers of immigrants to come ashore. In foreign policy the conflicting goals are too numerous to mention. Big government is the expression of the female instinct to take care of the needs of all her children. This may facilitate family functioning, but in government it frequently evolves into tyranny and sometimes bankruptcy.

It may be futile to attempt to answer Freud's famous question: "What do women want?" But let's give it a try. More specifically, what does a woman look for in a relationship with a male and what does this have to do with females in government? It is a common theory that many a man is really looking for a mother figure. Since his mother is the first woman who made a home for him, he may indeed be looking for a mother figure who can perform many of the same functions. But unless a woman selects a partner noticeably older than she is, the average woman is not looking for a father. If anything, she is looking for a child. The mother-child bond, remember, is the most primal of all. All other kinship relationships are subject to modification. The cultural anthropologists have never shied away from delineating how different these relationships can be. The ethologists, generally anathema to the cultural anthropologists, have theorized that romantic behavior is derived from parental behavior, that the kiss is an offshoot of feeding behavior, where the mother would masticate food before sharing it with her child. The young woman's behavior vis-à-vis her boyfriend is not terribly different from a young mother's behavior towards her child. The girlfriend will cook for her man, pick out his clothes, clean his apartment and cater to his moods. At heart, the female believes that she knows best:

This shrewd perception of masculine bombast and make-believe, this acute understanding of man as the eternal tragic comedian, is at the bottom of that compassionate irony which passes under the name of the maternal instinct. A woman wishes to mother a man simply because she sees into his helplessness, his need of an amiable environment, his touching self-delusion.⁴

But if the relationship results in a child, the father will be admonished to grow up. Those boyish mannerisms that once seemed so appealing lose their luster once a real child enters the family. The overgrown child cannot compete with a real live baby. Hubby, it turns out, is little more than a proxy until he is a father.

Any male, boyish or otherwise, with a talent for manipulation can still exert a strong influence on female behavior. At heart the female has an instinct to be needed. This instinct is usually satisfied by the birth of offspring, whose protracted needfulness exasperates many a father long before the mother gives up. The child's need for a mother is obvious. The adult male's need for a mother figure is less obvious, but his expression of need is the way to a woman's heart. Have you never seen a shrewd boss extract more work from an overworked secretary by asserting that he needs her and couldn't get by without her? A woman has little interest in a relationship—romantic or otherwise—with a man who has no need for her. Often she will strive mightily to show the man that he needs her as surely as a toddler needs a mother.⁵

I wouldn't go so far as to say that women believe there is no such thing as a bad boy, but they do seem to believe that there is no such thing as a bad boy who can't be redeemed by a relationship with the right sort of woman—namely herself. In that sense the man needs her; he just doesn't know it. In fact the female believes she has the power to mold a man's behavior, just as she would that of her own infant, if she had one. If he changes his life and cleans up his act, it is a testament to her power over him. It has long been a puzzle to the nice guys of this world—who have already cleaned up their act—why the hoods have a lock on the female psyche. It is tempting to think it is just masochism on the part of the female. But it is more than that.

Ask female movie fans why they like Jack Nicholson so much. As loutish, boorish, arrogant, overbearing, ill-tempered, loud- and foul-mouthed as he is, women respond to him. He is the classic bad boy—no matter if he is old enough to collect Social Security. As a movie image, Nicholson may be irresistible. In real life, if he persists in his behavior, he may get dumped.⁶ Though a woman may have an urge to "save" a man, if her efforts aren't bearing fruit, she may jump ship. Still, we have to explain why so many women remain with wife-beaters. Can it be that deserting such a man would be tantamount to deserting a child—an act that invites universal opprobrium even in these liberated times?

As for really "bad boys," consider the astonishing number of women who propose to and marry prison inmates. The more heinous the crimes, the more female attention the inmates receive. Would a man ever do the same towards a female felon?

Even if Cindy Crawford and Heather Locklear get sent up the river, I don't see it happening.

But one need not be a bad boy to invite female attention. The young man who is the apple of his mother's eye will likely make a good ladies' man. He has learned the art of being a son, perhaps even mastered the corresponding role of teacher's pet. When he turns his attention to his female peers (and they turn their attention to him), his boyish demeanor will awaken their maternal instincts. When the pubescent female first shifts her attention to the opposite sex, the adjective most likely to be used to describe the young male is "cute." This adjective is used to describe desirable males well into adulthood. Cuteness goes a long way, at least until baldness and graying render the adjective no longer credible.

Cuteness may also be the motivating factor for females who hang out with male homosexuals. Is the "fag hag" really enjoying the company of a man (usually well-groomed and well-mannered) who has no intention of hitting on her? Or is she looking for a "bad boy," albeit a cute one, to redeem? In her heart of hearts does she think a queer man-child is as malleable as a straight boy-child?

The wish to be a man is a common female fantasy. The desire to be a woman is not one felt by the human male unless he is a pervert. The ultimate frustration for the feminist is that no matter what her profession, that no matter how high she rises in the corporate hierarchy, that no matter how much money she earns, that no matter how much she embraces the traditional trappings of the male, she can never be a man. Hence she is set up for frustration from the word go, yet all the while her voice grows louder and louder in the ranks of government. Has her resentment of the male diminished as equality has grown and his privileges have diminished? Not at all. Anti-male sentiments are now more piercing than ever.

From time to time at work, when I compare my leisure time activities with those of my co-workers, I sense a bit of envy from the distaff department. I get plenty of vacation time and have the money to travel. The typical married with children co-worker spends her leisure time chauffeuring the kids to organized activities, cleaning the house, perhaps taking care of aged parents. Her life is an endless round of obligations with no end in sight. Whereas, if I want to go to the races, the ballpark, the movies, the art museum, the beach, or whatever, I can do it. Other than my job and my house, I don't have to consider anything else.

Women look at a bachelor pretty much the way they would at an 8-year old boy running loose around the neighborhood. To the woman the question is why isn't he married? The common question for both is what are they doing running around without female supervision? The as-



What makes unattractive
Jack Nicholson so attractive?

sumption is that the transition from mom-as-supervisor to wife-as-supervisor should be close to seamless with as short an interregnum as possible. A man who has logged too many years without female supervision is suspect, though not so much as the man who still lives at home with his mother.

My last sojourn at home with mom was during the "poster" phase of my youth (in other words, when I bought posters of my pop culture heroes and wallpapered my bedroom with oversized portraits of writers). One day my grandmother passed by my bedroom, looked at one of the posters (I don't remember if it was Steinbeck or Hemingway), portrayed in a characteristically disheveled pose, and snorted, "Those writers think they can do anything they want and dress anyway they please." That sounded pretty good to me, but to grandma, it was an unpardonable sin. Nobel Prize winners or not, they obviously lacked female supervision.

I also remember a bachelor friend of my uncle, who sometimes came over to the house and shared a beer with me. He was not dissolute and never attempted to lead my uncle astray in any way. But in the eyes of my aunt, my mother and my grandmother, he was suspect not because he was single, not because his burdens were few—but because he had no female supervision in his life! To make matters worse he seemed to function perfectly well without it.

A man leans towards freedom and simplicity; a woman towards obligations and complexity. A woman's life is a Gordian knot. The male instinct is to cut it.⁷ When he gives in to his sexual instinct, he is going against his simplicity instinct—hence his great reluctance to commit. The great ascetics of history have been men, usually celibates. The empire builders have also been men, but they usually delegate the detail work to their inferiors while they concentrate on the big picture.⁸ Women tend to be creatures of creature comforts, however those are defined by her social milieu. In some female circles these days, deliberately roughing it—rock climbing, white water rafting or whatever—is fashionable. But this is how they spend their vacations. When they return home, it's business as usual.

Women will always opt for security over freedom. Deep down it galls them to see an independent man of means whose life is not a morass of responsibilities and obligations like their own. This means that a single man running for President hasn't got a chance. How could he tend to the needs of the nation's extended family when he's never had to worry about the needs of an immediate family? The female franchise would be exercised elsewhere. In a real sense the male politician is married to his female electorate as well as to his wife. When female voters turn against him in large numbers, he hasn't got a chance. They will throw him out of the House or the Senate or elsewhere, if he shows little interest in protecting their children.

I don't know that I would recommend bachelorhood for men not seeking political office. Granted the marriage

contract has a lot of fine print these days, but there are a lot of men out there who need to be redeemed by a good woman—or a good something. Certainly society would collapse if the marriage and kinship system withered away. (Indeed many assert that is just what is happening.) Twenty-five years ago George Gilder wrote two books (*Sexual Suicide*, 1973 and *Naked Nomads*, 1974) blasting the single lifestyle which is now so entrenched in the American social fabric. Basically he asserted that single men tended towards instability in all of its anti-social variations. He believed that when their numbers grew too large, they would become a destabilizing force in society. I agree with him, though I hardly consider myself a threat to society. I must say, however, that my literary efforts for Instauration might convince some people otherwise.

When it comes to destabilization, I can't think of a more destructive force than large numbers of women in government. While the push for a female President will continue into the next century, I don't think the real danger to society is having a female in a figurehead position. Granted, Hillary Clinton is a clear and present danger, but history has shown that an exceptional female (Elizabeth, Victoria, Catherine the Great, Queen Isabella) may occasionally take the reins of state without jeopardizing the welfare of the opposite sex. If anything, the aforementioned rulers had an appreciation for the many ways in which masculine behavior could aid the nation-state. The real threat comes when top-level bureaucrats and members of the legislative bodies become more and more female. Under such circumstances, a busier and busier busybody government is assured. Figureheads come and figureheads go but bureaucrats and federal judges go on forever and members of Congress seemingly forever.

And it can only get worse. Today in the U.S., half the students in the nation's law schools are female. That means we will see more and more ambitious women, not just openly running for office but as behind-the-scenes policy wonks reinventing government. . .and protecting the children.

Be afraid. Be very afraid. I am. But I'm not sure if I'm more afraid of females tying a Gordian knot or the inevitable strongman who will come along to sever it. It may be necessary. It may be inevitable. But I have a feeling it won't be pretty.

JUDSON HAMMOND

1. But don't assume that if you live in the same house with her that you have the same right to go snooping through her things.

2. Physical enforcement of the law is still largely a male prerogative, as the time-honored phrase, "Wait till your father gets home," implies. Yet it is mom who investigates and interrogates before dad gets home. Dad is generally not a snoop. If daughter is hiding birth control pills in her underwear drawer, he'd just as soon not know about it, though he will make his feelings known if confronted with such a situation. As we are all becoming painfully aware, when dad is absent, discipline is lax to nonexistent.

3. The opposite is true in Latin countries where high birth-rates are the rule and feminism has been slower to take hold, though the global do-gooders gleefully assert that things are changing even there. Still, one may well ask just how much real freedom the Latin macho man really has. Over generations the authoritarianism of the Catholic Church, as well as *caudillos*, *padrones* and dictators have hamstrung Latin males not lucky enough to be born into the ruling classes. Can we say that when constructive manly behavior is discouraged, destructive macho posturing is the likely result? Isn't the same thing happening here in America, where binge-drinking, road rage, lavish spectator sports and other obnoxious, meaningless or self-destructive activities have become more popular?

4. H.L. Mencken, *In Defense of Women* (Alfred A. Knopf, 1922), p. 4. Though Mencken skewers men far more than he does women in this volume, there is nary a paragraph that wouldn't be offensive to today's egalitarian establishment, notwithstanding that highly original ideas expressed in a highly original prose style always have a subversive edge.

5. There may be a psychological connection in the female mind between the domestication of the male and the domestication of animals. It is tempting to speculate that the pre-pubescent

girl's love of horses is a warm-up for adolescence. How else to explain her fascination with corralling a headstrong, physically powerful, wild animal and domesticating him (literally putting him in harness). Change the horse to a human male and you have the template for the romance novel.

6. Nicholson in his personal life had a highly unusual experience with motherhood. Turns out he was an illegitimate child and the woman he thought was his mother was really his grandmother. The woman he thought was his older sister was really his birth mother.

7. It is not unusual to come across men who are masters at tying Gordian knots. Such a mindset may not be entirely unnatural, since the ethologists assert that the basic mammalian template is female and that the male brain is a more recent development. At the same time it would be difficult to imagine that such a man would ever become an alpha male—such as Alexander the Great.

8. One of my enduring memories of working in various offices is noting that the head honcho's desk is almost always clean except for whatever he's concentrating on at the moment. For the underlings, cluttered desks are a way of life.

Old Joe's Famine Cooked Up?

Recently I spent time with some religious friends. At one point the conversation turned to the biblical tale of Joseph in Egypt. I remembered vaguely about the seven prosperous years followed by the seven years of famine in which Joseph saved the country. But my friends provided some additional insights about this ancient Chosenite. According to them (I claim no biblical expertise), Joseph fed the populace—for a price. Because of years of famine the people had no more money, so he demanded that they sign over their property. In short order he owned most of the country. Does this story sound depressingly familiar? Doesn't it explain Egypt's subsequent anti-Semitism?

The Bible is historical (more or less), but history is written by the winners. Could other relevant details have been omitted from the story of Joseph? This is speculation but,



The Pyramid builders weren't dummies

given the rapacious and parasitic behavior of Jews over the next several millennia, is it not possible that Joseph might have somehow caused the famine and profited from it? Is it not odd that such an old and established civilization was so unprepared? Were a people intelligent enough to build the Pyramids too stupid to deal with one of nature's most elemental hazards? Was Joseph's foresight divine inspiration or simply the first of history's big Jewish scams?

The record of the Chosen makes this scenario plausible. Joseph's demonic descendants in the NKVD caused the Ukrainian "famine" by simply confiscating the food supplies. Perhaps the Bolsheviks were just emulating their Jewish ancestors. The current Jewish financial rape of Russia is another instance of the Jews' genetic propensity for amassing large amounts of money.

Underreported Gulag Atrocities

Americans have been saturated for half a century with stories of Nazi atrocities, a few of which may actually be true. What has been sorely lacking, probably deliberately concealed, are exposés and revelations about Soviet concentration camps, collectively called the Gulag, stretching over a period of some 60 years from 1918 to about 1975. Robert Conquest and Alexander Solzhenitsyn have focused their expertise on this subject. But Conquest's work is mostly read by historians and the highly educated, while Solzhenitsyn's *Gulag Archipelago* is scarcely read at all, except in a condensed version.


Overall, the general public has been so heavily propagandized about alleged German war crimes and kept so uninformed about the much more extensive Soviet criminality that it has a completely distorted picture of 20th-century history. National Socialism, a reaction to the Versailles Treaty and to the threat of communism, lasted twelve years before it was eradicated from the world scene by the Soviet Union and its Western allies. Unfortunately communism, even though the U.S.S.R. political state has disintegrated, is still very much with us. Meanwhile, Americans continue to be stuffed with anti-German propaganda, possibly to distract them from the underhanded operations of the Office of Special Investigations, which is still being funded to prosecute 90-year-old German, Latvian, Estonian and other anti-Communists, while we deal with the former MVD and NKVD goons as the legitimate rulers of "democratic" Russia.

Regrettably even American presidents have been used to bless the inmates and condemn the managers of German camps while totally ignoring the inmates of the Soviet camps. Presidents Carter, Reagan, Bush and Clinton have rarely been seen in public ceremonies concerned with WWII without an Elie Wiesel or some other "witness" at his side. At the same time no American president (at the advice of his foreign-born advisers) has had the courage to be seen with Solzhenitsyn for fear of offending Brezhnev, Chernenko or some other nonentity.

A MVD major who worked in the Gulag from 1951 until his retirement in 1981, Danchik Sergeyevich Bal-

daev, ethnically a Buryat, has published a book depicting the travails and agonies of Russians declared "enemies of the people" by the Communist government.

Baldaev's book is arranged thematically, with sections on camp organization, tortures and cruelties, sex, food and housing, climatic conditions, common and political criminals and so on. Special mention is made of Naftaly Aronovich Frenkel, Stalin's favorite camp butcher and willing executioner. The Jewish Frenkel, originally a common criminal convicted in 1927 of swindling and corruption, swiftly rose to a privileged position in the Solovetsky camp by proposing special techniques to the authorities for eliciting more productive work from his fellow inmates. One of his "contributions" was linking a prisoner's




Gulag barracks

food ration, especially hot food, to his production. Frenkel became a camp official after observing that a prisoner's most productive work is usually done in the first three months of his captivity, after which he or she was in such a weakened state that the efficiency of the inmate population could be kept high only by killing off the exhausted prisoners and maintaining a high influx of fresh inmates. So pleased was Stalin with these efficiency proposals that in 1937 he made Frenkel the head of the newly founded Main Administration of Railroad Construction Camps (GULZhDS).

Another method of having prisoners show enthusiasm for their work—and at the same time culling the camp population by killing off the weak—was quite simple. When the prisoners were called out on a work detail, they fell into line. The last man in the line would be shot as a laggard or *dokhodyaga*, one so weakened as to be considered useless for work.

While Stalin's thugs, the NKVD and MVD, officially managed the operation of the camps, unofficially, inside the barracks, common criminals (murderers, rapists, psychopaths of every variety) ruled, using and abusing the women and the weak. Calling themselves *vory v zakone* (thieves or professional criminals), these thugs were mafiosi of the lowest type. It is ironic and tragic that Russia today is ruled by these same elements, along with the secret police and their old thuggish colleagues in the camps.



Master criminal Frenkel

The women were preyed upon from all quarters. During their transport to the camps they were often raped on the transport ships and in the railroad cars. Upon arrival at their destination they would be paraded naked in front of the camp officials who would select those they fancied, promising easier work in exchange for their sexual favors. The officials, according to Baldaev, preferred German, Latvian and Estonian women, who would never see home again, over native Russian women who might. Women not selected by the camp officials became "prizes" for the

common male criminals or for the lesbians.

Besides the everyday tortures of starvation, work exhaustion, exposure to the cold of the Far North and beatings, more recalcitrant prisoners might be subjected to impalement, genital mutilation or more mercifully a shot in the back of the head.

While today the average American college graduate would probably be much better prepared to appreciate the *Illustrated Kamasutra*, Baldaev's work must be recommended to all mature and serious students of 20th-century communism. As unlikely as it might seem, Baldaev manages to depict the entire pathology of the Communist camps and their overlords in an almost clinical manner, starkly and without theatrics.

Danchik Sergeyevich Baldaev dedicates his Gulag Zeichnungen (Gulag Drawings) to his father and to Alexander Solzhenitsyn. Aside from the Library of Congress and perhaps a few other libraries specializing in Russian and Communist history, the book is not readily available in the U.S. It may be obtained by mail only from: Zweitausendeins, Postfach 610 637, D-6000, Frankfurt am Main 60, Germany.

200

Driven to Kill

Within 24 hours of the Ft. Worth Baptist church murders, the Jewish media (as always) informed us the perp was a "white male," "a loner," "mentally unbalanced" and a "nasty hater." These white male killers are always said to have some sort of association with "hate groups," whether they really do or not. I suppose it is important to the culture vultures to blame these "hate groups" for crimes instead of their own putrid, rotting behavior.

Half of today's male white youth start out from broken homes with no healthy male role model in sight. They live with a working or welfare mother "trying to find herself." They are schooled by a matriarchy of emasculating, politically correct NEA teacher-harpies. They go to some P.C. church where they get love-thy-Negro, love-thy-fag sermons.

I have heard too many depressing tales from divorced fathers denied visitation rights to their own children because they are deemed "mentally unstable" by lawyers and judges for anything from racist or non-P.C. thought crimes to taking Sonny Boy too often on hunting trips. Any

exercise of masculinity in this culture is considered unhealthy. This killing society that exports its bloody warfare overseas hasn't fought a war on its own soil for more than 130 years, which makes Americans squeamish about home-grown violence.



Loser Buford Furrow

Half of young white males fortunate enough to reach their majority with both parents still together usually find dad is

never home. When he is, he is a sports nut, a computer nerd, consumer-crazed or an alcoholic. Mom has a hyphenated surname and puts in 50 hours at the office to pay off credit card debts. Sonny is entrusted to daycare, a church camp or a charter school, where he is deracinated and learns about the guilt and shame he must feel for being male and white. Football is too aggressively violent and competitive. The debate team is too exclusionary and elitist. Even a chemistry set is too dangerous. It might make bombs! So Sonny Boy listens to rap music, wears his hat backwards, smokes dope and ends up acting like a black.

Small wonder a tiny subset of alienated white males who eschew these dead-end options end up crazed. Like Buford Furrow, Larry Ashbrook and even Timothy McVeigh, they "get in touch with their anger." Nothing personal. It's just the way an unhinged mind strikes back at a lifetime of psychic rape and raw deals. If America loves its victims, then let it love these losers instead of portraying them as monsters!

838

The New Siberia?

Adolf Hitler believed that allowing Jews to call the shots in any society would inevitably result in the corruption of its institutions and the degradation of its culture. The government of Canada seems bent upon proving his point! Some examples:

CANADA CUSTOMS is authorized by law to bar the importation of any material deemed to be “hate propaganda.” In practice, this seems to mean anything a Jewish censor wouldn’t want us to know. How else can we explain the banning of Wilmot Robertson’s lament for the decline of his people in *The Dispossessed Majority*? Or Ingrid Rimland’s great novel, *Lebensraum*? Or an Australian educator’s book describing how David Irving was barred from that country? These are but three cases among hundreds in which Canadians have been denied their democratic right to freedom of information.

CANADA’S SUPREME COURT ruled in 1996 that an honest schoolteacher named Malcolm Ross should be barred from the classroom. His offense? He had, on his own time, exposed the manifest absurdity of yoking together in “Judeo-Christianity” two faiths that are morally incompatible. An excellent and popular teacher, Ross had *never* brought his “controversial” views into the classroom. Nevertheless, a sleazily dishonest campaign led by Dr. Karen Mock’s so-called League for Human Rights (the Marxist branch of B’nai Br’ith) presented him as a danger to the community. The Supreme Court agreed, ousting Ross in a judgment so patently illogical that even Canada’s “national newspaper” concluded, “We dissent.” Would it matter if the whole country dissented? What the enemies of truth and freedom want, they get.

PARLIAMENT. Canada’s politicians are a plastic bunch. When Jews suddenly demanded that they be allowed to wear their yarmulkes in the halls of the Royal Canadian Legion—despite the no-hats tradition there—some Cabinet Ministers backed them up. Didn’t those Ministers *know* that the yarmulke is the symbol of the oldest and ugliest racism on Earth? That its wearer is identifying himself with the doctrine that all non-Jews are “cattle” and all our womenfolk, “whores?” Why should Legionnaires put up with that? Yet, when we refused to do so, we were called “racist!”

Similarly, protests to politicians about the escalating attacks on our traditional freedoms, and about governmental *financing* of the attackers, draw no response. Like most of the people they represent, our politicians get their education on these matters from the professional liars of the

Jewish Lobby.

When the latter discovered, for instance, that Ernst Zündel had rented a room on Parliament Hill for a small meeting, they raised such a stink about it that all three Parties dutifully fell into line. No way that awful man could be rented a room on the Hill, they chorused. Had any of them actually *read* Zündel? Did they know that the prosecution in one of Zündel’s trials conceded that the publication he’s chiefly associated with, *Did Six Million Really Die?*, is “substantially correct?” Of course not! They were just doing what their Jewish masters told them to do.

THE TRILLIUM FOUNDATION was set up by the provincial government of Ontario to distribute to various “good causes” some of the profits of the Ontario Lottery Corporation. Something strange happened there in 1995. The aforesaid Dr. Mock, having secured a TRILLIUM grant of \$186,000 for her League, then lobbied the Board for a grant of \$8,000 to an underground terrorist group calling itself Anti-Racist Action. This being given, Mock then took TRILLIUM’s Jewish president with her to lobby Toronto’s Metropolitan Council to grant another \$8,000 to the ARA.

This bit of ethnic “networking” inspired letters of protest to TRILLIUM and the Jewish head of the Ontario Lottery Corporation. There was no reply, nor did the RCMP or Ontario’s Provincial Police respond to complaints. Evidently, “the fix is in.”

How *could* public monies be diverted to a body of Stalinist and Anarchist thugs with no legal standing whatsoever? Ask Adolf! He remarked in his “Secret Book” that once Jews had attained equal rights they would demand super-rights. So they do. And in Canada, apparently, it puts them above the law.

MEDIA.

(1) **Magazines.** Canadian nationalists and magazine publishers have been worrying about the effect on our “cultural autonomy” of unfair competition from America’s “split-run” magazines. But haven’t newspapers a far greater influence on public opinion? And are not some 60% of Canada’s daily papers owned by a Jewish-run corporation based in New York? Our cultural autonomists daren’t say a word about that.

(2) **Newsletters.** Several newsletters are put out in Canada by conservative people dedicated to defending the culture of the Majority and exposing the attacks upon it. Some examples of what these patriots have to put up with:

(a) A newsletter put out by the Pressler couple in British Columbia aroused the ire of Dr. Mock’s close

associate, "Red" Professor David Lethbridge. He tried to shut the couple down by bankrupting their pharmacy business through a campaign of lies and defamation. They sued, and won damages of over \$70,000. But it's doubtful whether they will ever collect, as Lethbridge has appealed the verdict. Being funded by the Communist Party, he can afford to do this, whereas the Presslers are on their own.

(b) A long-serving adult-education teacher named Paul Fromm has for many years published highly informative newsletters about corruption in Immigration and Foreign Aid, and about the erosion of our traditional freedoms. This naturally angered Dr. Mock, who seems eager to live up to her family connection with the KGB. In 1997 she and Lethbridge teamed up to have Mr. Fromm dismissed by the local Board of Education on grounds eerily similar to those on which Malcolm Ross was fired. (Their two cases mean that freedom of speech no longer exists for teachers in Canada.) Fortunately, Mr. Fromm is still publishing, but it's difficult. Besides being threatened with physical violence by the ARA, he must depend on private subscription, while his enemies are getting government grants.

(c) Not content with these shabby triumphs, the foes of truth and freedom seem determined to go even further. Some years ago, a Jewish lawyer named Charles Harnick introduced into Ontario's socialist legislature a bill which would virtually have abolished freedom of expression in that Province. This Bill 56 was aborted at the last minute through a public protest led by Mr. Fromm. Mr. Harnick, however, went on to new heights of influence, being appointed Attorney-General by the succeeding Conservative(?) government. The last we heard of him, he was conferring with fellow travellers in Ottawa with a view to legislation which would (are you sitting down?) make it a criminal offence to subscribe to these Majority newsletters! That has not yet come to pass, but could anything more clearly illustrate what these people are about?

(3) **THE CBC.** It's rumoured that the next head of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation will be a Jewish associate of the Bronfman clan.

To be fair, this Mr. Rabinovitch may well be a fine administrator. But what sort of people would he bring in with him? Would they be the same kind who have just contrived (led once again by the busy Dr. Mock) to have *The Lord's Prayer* banned from our schools? Will they be arguing that it's inappropriate for a publicly owned medium to celebrate Christian festivals, and therefore that the traditional Christmas broadcast of Handel's *Messiah* is a no-no?

But why go only half-way? If Ottawa's intent is to vulgarize the CBC, why not give the job to Howard Stern? He could do it faster than anyone else.

IMMIGRATION. For the last 25 years, Canadian governments have discouraged British and European immigrants in favour of those from the Third World. This anti-white bias reached a bizarre climax in the tale of a nameless ship arriving on our West Coast with 123 illegal immigrants from China. Rather than being sent back—as most people thought they should have been—these invaders got a warm welcome from Ottawa.

Though nobody believed these people to be *refugees*, they were invited to apply for refugee status. Naturally they accepted, since this entitles them to immediate release into the general population with generous social benefits and free legal aid. (It also offers them a convenient back door into the U.S.) Predictably, the horde of lawyers and "refugee consultants" who profit from the corruption of the Immigration process applauded Ottawa's decision, while we who opposed it were called "racist."

The Minister of Immigration promptly made things worse by declaring that we would *never* send such invaders back. This was an open invitation to the professional people-smugglers (charging around \$40,000 a head) to send as many more boatloads as they can round up. (Two more have since arrived. And China's own Bureau of Public Security estimates that there are hundreds of thousands of Chinese already outside China waiting to be smuggled to their final destinations.)

The latest word on this issue is that a new Minister of Immigration has finally bowed to overwhelming public pressure in two respects. Fifty-seven of the 444 arrivals *will* be deported, she says, and the latest bunch will not be released but held in detention until their refugee status is determined. But let's not hold our breath! The usual suspects are already threatening to block both reforms by endless judicial "appeals." Some also argue that since China has a repressive government, *all* Chinese arrivals must be classed as refugees and therefore entitled to the taxpayers' bounty. If only 5% of China's population heed that message, we're looking at 50 million people!

It has to be added that if Ottawa's policy makes no sense to Canada's Majority, it makes a whole lot of sense to the traditional enemies of Western/Christian culture. What better way to undermine that culture than by swamping it with people who neither share nor respect its values?

"JUSTICE." For many years we've seen a pattern of increasing attacks on our democratic rights to freedom of speech and assembly. A countrywide network of Jewish activists is poised to prevent or disrupt the meetings of people whose views the Bolsheviks don't like.

No matter how many times we repeat that it's not about "White Supremacy" but about White Survival—the survival of a culture based upon truth and freedom—the other side's control of the media makes it easy for them to convince a brainwashed public that it's we who threaten a free Canada! They love to talk about "racism" and "hate," about "hatemongers" and "hate sites" on the Internet. But

since Jewish writers tell us that "the heart's blood" of their religion is the Talmud, and since the heart of the Talmud is hatred and contempt for non-Jews, mustn't it follow that the majority of hate sites in Canada are synagogues? And how can a people espousing Jewish Supremacy accuse others of racism? Isn't a racist a racist whether he gets his master-race myth from Adolf or from Moses?

Another favourite tactic of the Bolsheviks has been the perversion of our "Human Rights Commissions." Originally formed to protect individuals from unfair treatment by the rich and powerful, these bodies are now too often turned into the opposite—a mechanism whereby the powerful enemies of truth and freedom can persecute anyone telling a truth they don't want told.

The multiannual Jewish pursuit of columnist Doug Collins is a case in point. In order to nail him, British Columbia's Bolshevik legislators passed an amendment declaring that "truth shall be no defence." All that a minority complainant then had to say was that his feelings had been hurt by something Collins had written; its truth became "irrelevant." (Especially unfair is that the complainant's costs are paid by the HRC, while the accused must pay his own expenses.)

Having worked in British Columbia, this evil development is now being projected onto the national scale. Agents of B'nai Br'ith (a political lobbying group disguised as a "charity" and therefore tax-exempt) have persuaded our Federal and 10 Provincial Ministers of Justice to approve a similar amendment to Canada's Criminal Code. If this becomes law, you could actually go to jail for telling the truth! A court need only deem that the truth you expressed was likely to expose "an identifiable group to hatred or contempt." So if you told of the Jewish role in the Ukrainian Holocaust or cited reasons to doubt the fabled Six Million in the Nazi one, you could be found guilty of HATE. Then how long would it be before we heard it suggested that special camps be set up, à la Gulag, for the detention of "hatemongers?"

The only good thing about this diabolical initiative is that it finally brings the diabolists out of the closet. For it must now be plain to all of us that people wishing to equate TRUTH with HATE (and thereby abolish the teaching of history) have embraced EVIL as surely as Shakespeare's Iago does when he says, "Evil, be thou my Good!"

PETER J. LORDEN


Blockbuster Conference

Sponsored by David Irving, the modern world's most persecuted and most knowledgeable historian, the Real History USA Conference was held in Cincinnati on September 24-26. Featured were fascinating lectures by contemporary free speech advocates who have been hounded and in some cases prosecuted by liberal and minority thought control fanatics operating behind an "anti-hate" smokescreen.

The Conference opened with a welcome from David Irving who then introduced the evening's speakers. First was Bradley Smith of the Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust who held forth on his efforts to open debate on many university campuses despite the narrow and stifling parameters imposed by the American academy. Peter der Margaritis, the second speaker, had interesting things to say about the mystery surrounding the recall of Field Marshal Erwin Rommel from commanding the D-Day front in the West.

Saturday, the second day, began with amateur histori-

an Joseph Bellinger, who discussed the suspicious circumstances surrounding the suicide of Heinrich Himmler.



David Irving, master Revisionist

zation given Irving's supporters by professional minority racists, Israel boosters and Anti-Defamation League defamers.

Later in the day the film, *Inferno in Dresden*, prepared for TV's History Channel, was shown, followed by a talk

Later in the morning John Sack spoke on "Revenge and Redemption." Sack, who is Jewish, had been invited to give the same lecture at the Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington but when the news got out about the contents of his speech, the invitation was swiftly withdrawn. Sack's topic dealt with atrocities committed against German soldiers and civilians in prisons and camps run by Communists—most of whose bosses were Jews—in the large areas of Germany handed over to the Poles as WWII wound down. Sack was given a polite and friendly reception, the tone of which differed sharply from the anti-Semitic characteri-

by Irving on the writing of his first and widely acclaimed book, *The Destruction of Dresden*.

The program continued with a lecture by Brian Renk on Professor Christopher Browning, an expert retained by Deborah Lipstadt, in the upcoming libel action brought against her by Irving on account of her scurrilous book attacking Holocaust Revisionists in general and Irving in particular.

Saturday concluded with a banquet at which the guest speaker was gutsy Canadian journalist Doug Collins who, as a WWII prisoner of war in Germany, set a world record for escape attempts. Collins recounted his fight against the bigoted campaign of the Canadian Human Rights Commission to impose politically correct boundaries on freedom of speech. Collins was born in Britain and migrated to Canada after WWII. He reminded the audience of a quote from George Orwell: "Freedom of speech means my right to say what you do not want to hear." It made an Anglo-Saxon proud to see that there are still some Brits who have retained the original values of their liberty-loving race.

The speakers on Sunday included Californian Russ Granata who focused on the activities of Italian-American Carlo Mattogno, who has purchased and evaluated some 20,000 documents from the Russian secret state archives on Auschwitz and other camps.

Pennsylvanian Charles Provan spoke on the grisly massacre of prison guards and personnel by American forces at Dachau.

Perhaps the high point of the Conference was the appearance of Germar Rudolf, a brilliant young German formerly employed by the prestigious Max Planck Institute. A chemist, Rudolf was fired as a result of publishing research which demonstrated the physical impossibility of mass gassings at Auschwitz. He was then driven into exile from Germany by threats of huge civil fines and jail terms.

Rudolf's English has improved and his speech was well delivered. He makes a fine appearance—looking like a German is supposed to look—tall, long-headed and intelligent looking. His demeanor brought home to his audience his high principles, courage, remarkable perspicacity and integrity. A young man, he has made enormous personal sacrifice for our cause and promises more important things to come.

Rumor has it that Rudolf will be setting up a publication in English on the subject of the Holocaust. If true, this would be a great boon for Revisionists.

Irving deserves a rousing "thank you" from one and all for putting this Conference together. It ran like clockwork. Comparisons are odious, but this Conference was far better and far better organized than the IHR Conferences in the past.

Only someone like Irving—not involved in the disastrous split and consequent litigation of the two Revisionist factions—could have created this gathering. Supporters and participants on both sides of the Revisionist dispute were able to sit in the same room and not come to blows.

Irving promises that Real History USA Conferences will be held annually. Instaurationists owe it to themselves to make every effort to attend.

The next Conference promises to include such a notable as Nicholas Tolstoy, the White Russian author who has so bravely and at great cost badgered the British establishment on the deportations and forced return to the Soviet Union of Russian and other refugees from communism after WWII.

To keep abreast of Irving's activities, and to pre-register at a discount for next year's conference, Instaurationists should write Focal Point Publications, 81 Duke Street, London W1M 5DJ; phone 0171 491 3498; fax 0171 409 7048; email: info@fpp.co.uk

303

The Majority Must Vote Majority

The Republicans and other real or pseudo-conservatives are worried that Pat Buchanan will draw away enough voters from the G.O.P. to ensure the election of Gore or Bradley. Let's torture this logic a little. Why shouldn't Pat do everything in his power to weaken the not so Grand Old Party? As it now stands it represents the status quo, the ongoing dispossession of the Majority. Its present wishy-washy politics can only plunge the country further and further into political anomie.

So three cheers for spoiler Pat. Long may he spoil. The more votes he manages to chivy from the Republicans, the more votes he draws to the Reform Party, the more votes will be shifted from the Republican to the Democratic presidential

candidate. Which is a good thing. Let me explain.

Because of his idiotic, anachronistic and antediluvian stance on abortion, Pat will never make it to the White House. But he can help set the stage for some future Majority-conscious presidential candidate.

Truman once said there's not a dime's (shekel's) worth of difference between the two parties. Actually there is. The Republican Party, though it doesn't know it and doesn't act it, is the party of the Majority. The Democratic Party is the party of the minorities. Until our people understand this simple political truth, the American Majority isn't going anywhere but down.

The white vote is split between Re-

publicans and Democrats at the very time it should be solidly in the Republican fold. When if ever are Majorityites going to face up to this lose-lose situation.

So spoil on Pat, spoil on.

What we need is a Majority racist in the White House. The Democratic Party is pervaded with racism, minority racism, and a sizable number of white voters in the country pander to minority racism. Only severe and continuing punishment in presidential elections will make Republicans wake up and smell the coffee.

Rub their noses in their predicament, Pat. Rub the noses of the do-nothing and know-nothing Republicans until they get radicalized—and racialized. This is our only hope.

Anti-Dysgenics Campaign

I was deeply programmed to be parsimonious, frugal and, let's admit it, cheap in my financial dealings. Having said that, let me add that at present I would be willing to give a lot of money to large-scale programs that pay welfare females of child-bearing age to have their tubes tied and to welfare males to have vasectomies.

There is a massive, accelerating demographic catastrophe going on in the U.S. If we don't confront the issue now, in 50 years the country will degenerate into a Third Worldish Brazil or Puerto Rico.

The problem stems from the fact that intelligent women are not having enough children (1.5) to replace themselves (2.1). Less intelligent women who produce children prone to create social problems are having the preponderance of children. The balance tips toward an ever more stupid population, ever less capable of functioning in a technological society.

There is a tiny little ray of hope in some recent news. In Chicago, drug-addicted women are being offered \$200

to be sterilized or use long-term birth control methods. The program is known as CRACK, Children Requiring a Caring Community. Founder Barbara Harris of Anaheim (CA) defends her brainchild as a response to a system that fails to punish women for having drug-addicted babies. To get the cash, women must have a form signed by a doctor detailing the type of birth control being used.

Critics are rushing to condemn CRACK on the ground that it is racist. A disproportionate number of cocaine/crack-addicted women are black. Mrs. Harris, who is white and married to a black, has three grown sons who are biracial.

She responds to people who cry "racism" that of the 61 women who have received the \$200, 26 are Caucasian, 24 are African American, 11 Hispanic. The women have acknowledged having a total of 446 pregnancies, of which 169 were aborted. Twenty-three of the children were stillborn, 22 died later and 185 were placed in foster care.

The CRACK program has attracted at-

tention from people such as Oprah Winfrey and Barbara Walters. Radio shrink Laura Schlessinger contributed \$5,000 to the cause.

I have been a staunch supporter of eugenics virtually all my adult life. Raised in farm communities, I saw firsthand what science can do to improve plants and animals. It can certainly do the same for the human species.

Plato, H.G. Wells, Bertrand Russell, Margaret Sanger and hosts of genetic scientists have viewed eugenics as an obvious truth. The overwhelming majority of our ancestors accepted it as a given, unworthy of discussion.

In this day of incredible altruistic stupidity, ignorant attempts are being made to discredit eugenics. But if our species is to survive—and that's a big if—all of us had better get with it. Meanwhile, in the interest of my descendants, I'm hoping our leaders will see the great merit of CRACK and place it at the top of their political agendas.

323


Time Lapse

The year 2000 problem exists because computer programmers used only two digits to represent the year. As a result, January 1, 2000 would be interpreted as January 1, 1900 by the computer—a glitch which could cause systems to malfunction and possibly send time reeling back to another epoch.

It is early in the morning of January 1, 2000. Mr. Joseph Blow has awakened from his long and restful sleep after a night of New Year's Eve celebration down on Times Square. He recalls little on this foggy, rainy morning of the events of the night before. However, a few items of oddity do float to the surface of his memory: how the music seemed to change in those wee hours after midnight from the blaring Afro-Latino beat so disturbingly favored these days by the younger crowd to the softer melodies of Victor Herbert and his vaguely remembered operetta confections. Now, with his head a bit cleared by the morning coffee brought bedside by his dutiful wife, Joseph begins to remember how everybody

seemed to refer to Times Square only as Longacre Square, and how all those comical outfits that people were wearing in the New Year's Eve festivities seemed a bit too realistic. For goodness sakes, why was everybody at breakfast babbling about McKinley and Roosevelt and U.S. foreign entanglements in the Pacific? Scanning the morning edition of his newspaper, a terrible thought forms in his champagne-besotted brain: Does any of this have anything to do with that damned Y2K stuff that the government said had been fixed? Frantically, Joe eyes the headlines:

- NEGRO LYNCHED IN MISSISSIPPI FOR RAPE OF WHITE WOMAN
- CITY COUNCIL UPHOLDS LOCAL RESTAURANT'S RIGHT TO REFUSE SERVICE TO JEWISH COUPLE
- UNION PRESSURE LEADS TO CALIFORNIA'S OUTLAWING OF CHINESE IMMIGRATION




Amazed, still uncomprehending, and vaguely frightened at what he suspects may have happened, he struggles to grab his TV remote from the bedside table. Not there! Nor, for that matter, is the TV! Slowly assessing the room, Joe notices subtle changes—the wallpaper, furnishings and even the family pictures on the wall.

Thoroughly discombobulated, Joe leaps from the bed and rushes to the bedroom dormer to gaze out at the neighborhood. A gentle snowfall envelopes the cold morning air. No cars are visible. A horse-drawn wagon is trundling along the rutted pavement. Two drab immigrant children bundled against the biting January wind cart packages from the local grocery.

As the enormous reality of the scene begins to penetrate his skull, Joe ponders his situation and his options. If indeed he and his family have been transported back to the beginning of the century, is this really such a bad thing?

I.H.



Sport Ladies

I am sick to death of hearing about the U.S. Women's Soccer Team. In days of yore, women used to wear out the cartilage in their knee joints by working in the fields and scrubbing floors. Now they play soccer. What a boon for society! Time was when the fair sex was trained in cooking, home remedies, sewing and other useful domestic arts. Now they learn the subtleties of playing sweeper—the athletic not the household variety.

752

Lorden Speaks

• Zip 472 seems a bit confused. Would a "hired German-basher" have given as sympathetic a portrait as I tried to do in "Manstein's Hitler" (June 1999), which Zip 472 goes on to call "a very good piece"? The Germans don't need any bashing from me. They've been bashing themselves for the last 50 years, bashing their foreheads on the ground at the feet of their Jewish masters and raising their eyes only to sign a big cheque whenever the President of Israel drops by.

• Zip 370 asks whether I ever read the magazine. Given the many anti-Zionist pieces of mine *Instauration* has published, I have to wonder what magazine he's been reading—*Ladies Home Journal*?

• Finally, I'm puzzled by all the Brit-bashing we've seen here lately. Wasn't it transplanted Brits who founded America, signed the Constitution and established the very culture which *Instauration* is trying to defend? Some of my critics seem to be suffering from an identity crisis. If they wish to denounce and abandon their British heritage, okay. But how will they see themselves then? As unhappy hybrids? Maybe they should sit down and figure out what they want to be when they grow up!

Homo Abe?

Re "Homoerotic Abe," how about reprinting the essay by Grey Fox ("Honest Abe's True Colors," April 1997)? Is he or was he a Majority Great? The article made reference to Carl Sandburg who said Lincoln had "a streak of lavender." What's happened to N.B. Forrest? If you're going to showcase Lorden, you should balance him with someone who has a different opinion. As a subscriber since the first issue (Dec. 1975), I have noted some whining with not much in the way of solutions. How long has it been since you had any Stirrings? "Stats on Racial Crime" (Aug. 1999) should be reprinted and distributed far and wide. Maybe such items are what keep some of us subscribing to *Instauration*. Have you heard anything recently from Ingrid Rimland?

675

Editor's note. We've never been too excited about Abe Lincoln. We haven't heard from Grey Fox or N.B. Forrest for many a moon. Ingrid Rimland is trying to syndicate her articles in various right-wing publications. God speed!

Zionists Wool Pullers

I think *Instauration*, intentionally or not, is a "safety valve" for the Jewish powers at the top. They are clever enough to know that since there is a detectable undercurrent of resentment against them, it would be foolish to choke off all avenues for people to express their frustrations. The wily Zionists reason that it is better to channel these emotions into a few selected forums, such as *Instauration*. They know that *Instauration* will always remain within a certain defined "playpen," destined not to exceed

its boundaries. Since the public is almost totally unaware of the existence of such a sheet as *Instauration*, the forum can exist as long as it is deemed useful. In other words, we might still see *Instauration* 30 years from now, in the same format, with much the same content as today. Our people may deteriorate and non-whites will begin to dominate, but *Instauration* will still be mailed out. The dead giveaway with *Instauration* is the scribblings of its vaunted Peter Lorden, who has followed in the same footsteps as other propagandists who toil incessantly at dividing the pre-1945 German military and its leaders from the political leaders they answered to. Needless to say, the political leadership, with Der Führer at the top, is always presented in a negative light and the cause of everything that went wrong, while the military side is given a much better and more positive treatment. Even the Waffen-SS is not treated too shabbily, while the political SS is greatly criticized. The whole process is nothing more than an extension of the decades-old strategy of heaping everything "evil" on the Nazi leadership and Hitler in particular. Lorden never alludes to the fact that without the dynamism of the NSDAP and the creative political genius of its leaders, there probably would have been no generals like Manstein for him to praise. Politics always creates the conditions for everything else to follow. The editor evidently must be on the same wavelength as Lorden or he wouldn't be giving him so much space. I won't be re-subscribing this time around.

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER

Yankee Supporters of Integration


"Anti-Democracy in Texas" (Sept. 1999) misses the target about as far as could be. The author is correct in that a small group engineered the forced integration that was strongly opposed by a majority of people in Texas and the South. But how did the small group succeed? Not as in the Bolshevik Revolution by civil war. It was successful because Northern Majority members thought it was a fine thing to correct the Southern States and force them to abide by the deceptive slogan, "All men are created equal." The revolution could not have been won without the self-righteous support of the Yankees. It was not until the 1960s that Northerners began to realize that they might also be affected. So let's put the blame where it belongs. There is too much tendency for Yankees to blame the Jews for their own cowardice and hypocrisy.

The writer of the article also seems unduly happy that the Democratic Party in Texas has become a minority vehicle and the Republicans are "sweeping" the field. We are supposed to regard this as some kind of victory? Doesn't the writer remember Earl Warren? Doesn't he remember the Republican windbag Everett Dirksen pontificating about how he had spiritually communed with Honest Abe who told him to support the Civil Rights Act? Has he taken a look at the Bushes, Dick Armey, Kay Hutchinson and other Texas Republicans?

921

Hakenkreuz Orbs

I must chide you for publishing such a tasteless cover picture of a wolf's face with swastika eyes (July 1998). The National Socialists in Germany chose the swastika as their symbol. A very ancient symbol, it stands for the eternal recurrence of life. As for the article itself, Peter Lorden is evidently not fluent enough in



German to translate words correctly, and therefore comes to incorrect and nonsensical conclusions. Hitler was inclined to be a bit superstitious, but to say that he was obsessed with totemic symbolism, especially wolf images, is agit-prop. He probably chose the wolf's image because of the *Wehrwolf* not Werewolf sagas. *Wehren* is the German word for "to defend." It has many applications, such as: to defend oneself; to fight against; to restrain; to hinder; to offer resistance; to prevent something from being done. A *Wehrwolf* is one who defends himself. *Wolfschlucht* translates into wolf's ravine (deep, narrow valley), not into wolf's throat which would be *Wolfschlund* in German. The noun *Wehr* (Dike, Dam) is used in many words, such as: *Feuerwehr* (Fire Department); *Wehrmacht* (Armed Forces); *Gewehr* (Rifle); *Abwehr* (Defense); *Wehrpflicht* (Draft-Duty); *Wehrlos* (Defenseless) and so on.

983

Late Discovery

Recently, I came into possession of *The Dispossessed Majority*. I found it to be a most interesting and scholarly work about a subject which, if not handled in a direct and proper manner could cause "concern" in some quarters. I'm sure that there must have been some critical reviews of it. Nevertheless it is the truth. It is good to see that at different times in our American "culture" someone takes the bull by the horns and writes about a matter which is or could be controversial and, based on proper research, tells it like it is. Cultural anthropologists have been struggling with similar topics and have been afraid to express themselves for fear of being demonized and becoming the subject of scathing attacks by everyone who thinks that he or she has an open mind.

015

A Letter to Elizabeth II

Dear Madam:

I saw you on Public Television. You said several times that continuity and tradition are very important. How can you uphold the tradition of the English people, the tradition of European whites, if you permit all these Asians and Africans to settle in your country? How can your son, Prince Charles, recommend blacks for the Honor Guards or even permit them to serve in any military capacity? I have never been to England, but I love to read English history, especially the Regency Period. Does it not bother you that Spitalfields, Whitechapel, Stepney and Shadwell are now predominantly Asian? How about the other Asian ghetto in Southall in West London? Cockney battalions were raised there to fight for the Empire. Does it not bother you that chapels and synagogues are turned into mosques? Does it not bother you as a Christian monarch of a white nation to hear the droning of the muezzin on warm summer evenings? Does it not bother you to have English orderliness disturbed by Asian stalls laden with the trash of the Orient and detritus of the sweatshops—rice leaking out of huge bags, halal steaks spilling out onto dirty floors, puddles of sour milk everywhere? White British workers, whose parents might have lived there, have to maintain utilities for people who never had them in Asia.

Please notice that Gwinnet County in the state of Georgia here had 942 Asians in 1980. In 1990 there were 10,219. How will London and England look in ten years? Dear Madam, your tradition will go out the window if you do not get rid of all the colored who come from countries whose culture is alien to

yours. We need a white Europe. Yeltsin should not be propped up by American and British leaders. He is not the man to lead Russia. Jesse Jackson, the big-mouth black, said, "Western civilization has got to go." What would America be without whites?

As a German American I am very concerned about Europe, and Germany in particular. German politicians are not German-thinking. Don't forget, England dropped the first bombs on Germany, not the other way around.

781

Consider the Source

Zip 329 is employing the technique of assuming facts not in evidence. Any reasonable individual would grant that making a woman take off her pants by using a gun or knife is rape. However, taking off your own pants in the absence of physical coercion and then claiming you didn't want to do it is something else. As to the superior physical strength argument, the strength has to be exercised. "Defenseless little woman" logic to the contrary, that is not so easy to do.

I'm afraid that despite some excellent articles, Instauration does need a new format. Some suggestions: Drop Waspishly Yours. It just doesn't cut it. Drop the pretentious approach. Many of the essays are too metaphysical. Cut out all the news items. We know black-on-white muggings are never-ending. What to substitute? Review after review of Jewish studies that hang the Chosen with their own admissions. More and more history. The anti-partisan warfare in the East is still murky because so much of the evidence is still missing. It is essential to know that there were two reports by the German forces on anti-partisan executions on the Eastern front. The first was by troops in the field to their commanders. The second report was by commanders back to Berlin. All the evidence for large mass executions comes from the reports to Berlin. The in-the-field reports have conveniently disappeared. One suspects that the execution figures from the field are considerably lower than the figures reported to Berlin. It is much easier to exaggerate to those faraway than to field commanders with a realistic appreciation of the capacity of their troops.

As to collaboration with the Russian population and using POW's to fight the Bolsheviks, the evidence is, once again, mixed. The Vlasov liberation army turned against the Germans in the later stages of the war. Hitler may not have been so wrong in doubting the trustworthiness of these "allies." Creating a native liberation army involves large-scale arming and training. Given the limited scope of German military production in 1941-43, the necessary material for such a project simply was not available. A factor routinely ignored in evaluating the warfare in the East is the utterly inhumane methods of the Soviet enemy. Red troops regularly scorched the earth in retreat, destroying food stocks and carting off industrial capacity, leaving nothing behind but naked starvation for the civilians and captured Russian soldiers.

George Patton was utterly sickened by the slaughter he inflicted. He did what he had to do nevertheless. As to the final *Götterdämmerung* order, it was no worse than the Morgenthau Plan, the fire-bombing of Dresden or Churchill's drunken proposal for anthrax bombs. War produces intolerable strains on human beings, particularly when they know they are going down.

Hitler should be treated the same way as every other central participant of history's greatest conflict—with strict objectivity. He was neither a God nor a demon, but a remarkably gifted man with weaknesses and probable errors of judgment. No one knows what the world would be like had he won. We can only attempt to right the record and separate the facts from the propaganda. That is both the proper epitaph and the proper foundation for further study.

472



This Damn Democracy

So far the so-called televised debates at the so-called town hall meetings have been somniferous. To date the presidential candidates have concentrated on making but not of course keeping promises. How can anyone take these Punch and Judy performances seriously? All that can be learned so far has been that democracy is the wrong kind of government for the U.S. If you dragged the first person you met off the street and installed him as a dictator in the White House, you'd have a better government.

As America slips slowly down the tubes, no one hardly mentions what is killing it. The tragedy unfolds in the name of democracy. If someone is killing you, you fight back. You just don't lie down and quietly await the *coup de grâce*. The candidates talk about every issue but the real issue—immigration. They have nothing but praise for the institution that is doing them—and us—in. Democracy is not workable in a mixed-race country. A plutocratic democracy is a stomach-churning oxymoron. Our death knell tolls louder every day.

The 400 Most Loaded

Despite his humongous charitable outpourings, Bill Gates is still the richest American, boasting a net worth of some \$85 billion, up from \$59 billion last year. Today 268 billionaires populate the U.S. They compose more than half the Forbes 400 list. Richest Jew is Michael Dell, a computer hustler (\$20 billion). Nineteen of the superrich made their piles from Internet-related businesses. Forty percent made their money the easy way—by inheritance. Minimum net worth needed to qualify for the Forbes list rose to \$625 million, up from \$500 million last year. Sixty-three of the 400 never graduated from college. Two Jews, financier Max Fisher (91) and magazine publisher Daniel Ziff (27), represent the oldest and youngest of the 400.

Gates's \$1 billion gift for minority students does not include Asian Americans. Nor will the latter have any say where and when the money will be spent. Altogether the favored minority students will receive \$1 billion worth of scholarships over the next 20 years, an amount that will allegedly increase the number of blacks who get doctoral degrees in computer science by 25%; the number of Hispanic doctorates by 17%; American Indi-

an doctorates by nearly 20%. President William Gray of the United Negro College Fund claims that Gates' munificence will result in a 35% increase of blacks with graduate degrees and a 10% boost in black undergraduate degrees. Amid all the hoopla about Gates's philanthropy, little was said about Majority students being eased out of their chances of getting degrees by the sudden influx of subsidized minorities. To justify his largesse Gates stated a "broad, diverse labor force builds a strong America." We'll see.

Top Poet Banned

The Cathedral of St. John the Divine in New York City has a Poet's Corner, something like the one in Westminster Abbey. In October a panel of 13 writers voted to make F. Scott Fitzgerald and Ezra Pound members of the Corner. When the Very Rev. Francis Crichtett, Dean of the Cathedral, heard about this, he turned thumbs down. Pound, while living in Italy, had aired some radio broadcasts favoring the Axis in WWII. Worse, he was a full-blown anti-Semite who called FDR, "Franklin D. Frankfurter Jewsfeld" and his Chinese contemporary, "Chiang Kike Chek."



No forgiveness for Pound

For this unforgivable sin, Pound was consigned first to a iron cage in Pisa and then to a D.C. insane asylum for 13 years. When Jane Fonda openly backed the Viet Cong in the Vietnam War she returned to the U.S. to thunderous leftist applause and later married a pill-happy billionaire. Pound, one of the greatest modern poets, was blacklisted. Different strokes for different folks.

Iconic Status Threatened

Generalissimo Colin Powell, although his color belies it, is generally considered to be as pure as the driven snow. He is chairman of America's Promise, a tax-free organization supposedly dedicated to improving children's lot by giving them "a

caring adult, a safe place after school, health care, a marketable skill and the chance to give back to the community." Recently it has come to pass that Powell's "charity" is in pretty much of a mess. Some of his underlings have refused to divulge their salaries. As is the common practice of such organizations, there is much talk but little action. Powell bristles at the charge that he has been running his operation most sloppily, but that's exactly what he has been doing.

Who's Defaming Whom?

Finally responding to complaints filed years ago by some 800 groups and individuals, a federal judge in Los Angeles ordered that the Anti-Defamation League be permanently enjoined from engaging in further illegal "information gathering" on American citizens. For years the ADL has been running its own surreptitious spy operation against targeted American citizens and groups. Since the ADL routinely defames others, cynics propose it should be renamed the Defamation League.

Anti-Art Follow-Up

One of the most hideous examples of the human imagination, *Piss Christ* (the figure of Jesus dunked in urine), was sold by Christie's auction house to an unknown buyer for \$43,700. The private curator who bought the "art," one of ten prints, for his client was Todd Levin. If anything marks the end of a civilization it is the blasphemous manner in which it treats its gods. Imagine what would happen if Yahweh was given the same treatment? The media would explode.

What more can be said about the trashy exhibit at the Brooklyn Museum of Art? The show originated in London two years ago when Norman Rosenthal, exhibitions director of the Royal Academy of Arts, acted as gofer for Jewish advertising magnate Charles Saatchi, who owns the entire collection. The creator of the most offensive item in the show is one Chris Ofili, an "Englishman" of African descent. It is not known for certain but it has been rumored that Ofili's mother posed for his *Holy Virgin Mary*. The entrance to the show, called "Sensation: Young British Artists from the Saatchi Collection," is marked by *Bunny*, a nude half-torso with spread legs encased in tight black stockings. All in all the show is a perfect example of Jewish-directed multicultural served up for the goyim. One suspects that hardly one of the fake artists who dished out this "English" scatological and sacrilegious stuff is of English descent.

200



Criminal Goes Free

As happens all too frequently when Negro celebrities fall afoul of the law, the trial of Ralph D. Abernathy III, spoiled scion of the infamous black agitator, ended in a hung jury. He had been accused of tax evasion and expense account padding. The presence of black jurors was probably a decisive factor. Two of them refused even refused to consider the evidence. Before the legal proceedings got underway a few jurors were seen socializing with Coretta Scott King and religious sharpster Al Sharpton. A retrial is in the offing.

Lame Excuses

Senator Charles Schumer (J-NY) stated without blinking an eye that Clinton's extension of clemency to 16 Puerto Rican terrorists (14 accepted the conditions, 2 refused) had nothing to do with New York politics, nothing to do with the fact that 1.3 million Puerto Ricans are eligible to vote in Zoo City elections, making them the largest voting bloc. Schumer also insisted that Clinton's clemency was unrelated to Hillary's eagerly awaited bid for a Senate seat. Prescient Justice Dept. officials stated that some of the freed Puerto Ricans are only biding their time before they recidivate.

Sex Switcheroo

Ara Trapp, 38, who was a man not so long ago, is now a woman, thanks to some tricky surgery. To celebrate his sex change, Trapp dressed up in a slinky red gown and danced topless atop a Seattle highrise. For this daredevilish stunt she/he was given 10 days in jail, 200 hours of community service and an \$800 fine. When Ara was a man, he had a wife and worked as a logger.

Willie's Queer Pal

One of Frisco Mayor Willie Brown's close chums is Jack Davis, Brown's homo/bisexual former campaign manager. Back when he was orchestrating the initiative to build a new baseball stadium, which will cost San Francisco taxpayers plenty (it passed), Davis was photographed making out with a boyfriend at a Giants ball game. After Willie was elected, Davis threw the infamous victory party at which a professional dominatrix took a swig of Jack Daniels from a bottle, carved a pentagram on some guy's back, then sodomized and urinated on him—all supposedly a demonstration of what alcohol has

done to Native Americans. When asked about these happenings by the San Francisco Chronicle, Davis replied, "Gee, that was mild compared to what went on at the private party at my house!"

Anti-Semitic Presidents?

Truman was known for calling Jews kikes. In a letter to Bess he describes Miami as nothing but "hotels, filling stations, Hebrews and cabins." Compare the friendly treatment given Truman by the media to what was dumped on Nixon. Could it be that Truman was the first leader of any Western nation to recognize Israel have something to do with it?



Harry and Bess

In the latest release of the Nixon tapes—445 hours from February to July 1971 Tricky Dick is heard chatting about the Jews. He puts some of the blame for communism on the Chosen's shoulders. But he is hardly the raging anti-Semite his multitudinous enemies have portrayed him. No bona fide anti-Semite would appoint Henry Kissinger Secretary of State.

Farmer Backlash

Two western Kentucky farmers have not taken the most recent threat of litigation by 10 minority workers and their lawyer crew lying down. They have turned around and sued the suers. Steve Wurth, who plants and harvests 50 acres of tomatoes, wants legal fees and punitive damages. "We're acting out of frustration and desperation." Migrant laborers have brought at least six suits against Kentucky farmers since the mid-1990s. Three were settled; one for \$44,000. Wurth's ungrateful peons want \$184,000 for back pay and "unsuitable conditions."

Anti-Klan Troublemakers

Last March's rally of a Klan faction in Defiance (OH) was peaceful, but cost townsfolk \$17,500 in police overtime and other

expenses. Throughout the nation two dozen KKK rallies so far this year have cost taxpayers about \$800,000. The Defiance gathering, which involved 41 Klansmen and 300 protesters, supposedly required the watchful eyes of 250 police. Since violence at Klan rallies is stirred up by anti-Klan goons, who generally outnumber the Kluxers five or ten to one, it would be seemly for them to pay the extra freight. An October Klan rally in New York City brought out 16 hooded ones and 800 or 900 protesters.

Pat Writes It All Down

Pat Buchanan's plain-talking, nativist new book, *A Republic, Not an Empire*, is the perfect antidote to Zbigniew Brzezinski's sophisticated, worldly *The Grand Chessboard*. Whereas Zbiggy presents tantalizing geopolitical arguments for those who opt for American hegemony or at least a formidable American presence throughout the world, Buchanan, relying on the advice of the founding fathers, warns against following the hubristic policies that led to the demise of history's great empires. Buchanan, the America firster, the outcast of both the Democratic and Republican parties, which is to say, the establishment, takes on Brzezinski, the globalist, the darling of the Council of Foreign Relations, Bilderbergers and Trilateralists.

Furthermore, America is neither the ethnically uniform nor ethically sound nation it once was, nor is the rest of the world as distant as it was two centuries ago. A Buchanan presidency or at least the adoption and adaption of Pat's policies on trade, foreign policy and immigration by a Republican administration is probably this nation's last chance to return to its origins and its earlier greatness. For his efforts and his intellectual honesty Buchanan has been denounced as an anti-Semite by most of his opponents, which is to say most of the establishment. If Buchanan is an anti-Semite, it can only be in the sense of the definition of the term as advanced by syndicated columnist Joseph Sobran, i.e., an anti-Semite is anyone Jews dislike.

Sacrilegious note.

Anne Frank a lesbian? So believes R. Amy Elman, a professor of political science at Kalamazoo College (MI). Elman quotes that part of Anne's diary where she confesses that she is attracted to girls. Only because there weren't any young members of her sex in her attic hiding place did she switch her affections to a boy, who was also hiding from the Nazis.

Primate Watch



Ted Turner, who owns more real estate in the U.S. than any other individual, had the hypocritical gall to tell an audience of business execs in Shanghai that he is "a socialist at heart." A ballyhooed yachtsman in his time, Mr. Jane Fonda criticized Jewish billionaire Larry Ellison for spending millions on racing yachts. Judging from his behavior, Ted should double his daily quota of anti-depressant pills.

Adam Rifkin, a so-called mainstream Hollywood director, is switching to dirty pictures. The Chosenite explains: "Porn is hip, man. It's not hidden away in the closet."

To give a lift to black racists, New Jersey **Governor Christine Todd Whitman** has long wanted to appoint a Negro superintendent of the New Jersey State Police. But there was a problem. The holder of the job, Carl Williams, was a Majority member who had a near perfect law enforcement record. Whitman's solution: Fire Williams for publicly linking minorities to crime, a statement which happens to be the truth. Williams, not taking his dismissal lying down, is suing to get his job back.

When ticketed for illegal parking in Astoria (OR), **Roberto-Vallenta Martinez** couldn't stop twitching. A hidden bag of cocaine was burning his crotch so badly that he begged the police officer to help him remove it. Thereupon he was carted off to jail and charged with drug possession.

Donna Brazile, a black lady and a lesbian to boot, is **Al Gore's** presidential campaign manager. Her previous claim to fame: She was a principal player in the Human Rights Campaign, a noisy homo lobby.

In Detroit, **two black kids**, 7 and 8, given to the care of a foster mother, Beatrice Epps, behaved so badly that she finally decided she had to call the police. Before she could reach the phone the 8-year-old planted a butcher's knife almost seven inches into her back. At last report the 66-year-old woman was in fair condition in a local hospital.

Black **Nathaniel Abraham** of Pontiac (MI), pumped a 22-caliber bullet into the head of a teenager (race unspecified), who died a few hours later. Nathaniel was 11 at the time of the murder, weighed 65 pounds and stood 4'9". He

was already a professional criminal as proved by his 22 previous run-ins with the law. As the trial of Abraham opened, 75 prospective jurors were dismissed when two of them made unfriendly comments about the defendant.

Members of the Hmong ethnic group participated in an unusual multicultural exercise in Michigan recently. Four teenage girls were abducted, held for more than two weeks and repeatedly raped by as many as a dozen young **Hmongs**.

The Russians who allegedly helped pull off a \$10-billion money-laundering scam in cahoots with the Bank of New York are not really Russians at all. They are **Russian Jews**.

Orbit/FR, a company located in Hingham (PA) was fined \$600,000 for providing China with the technology to increase the accuracy of nuclear missiles. The company's major stockholder is an **Israeli firm**.

Six Detroit police officers (almost certainly black) were indicted for robbing and beating people in their precinct. In addition, they kept for themselves the drugs, guns and other valuables they picked up in their illegal scavenging, which included taking bribes for protecting drug traffickers.

Albert "Super Nigger" Jones, as he calls himself, is one of the 13 candidates for governor of Louisiana. He was ordered by the state attorney general to remove the offensive part of his name from the ballot.

A 22-year-old senior at the University of Oregon was probably the first person to be convicted of cyberpiracy. His name (no surprise) is **Jeffrey Levy**. He specialized in selling movies, software and other copyrighted material stolen from the Internet.

Two Jewesses, **Arlene Lieberman** and **Arlene Reingold**, ran a smuggling ring that sold Mexican niños and niñas, some only a few days old, to American families for adoption. The couple charged \$20,000 or more per head.

Lino Graglia, a truth-telling constitutional law professor at the University of Texas, announced recently that black and Mexican-American students could not compete academically with white stu-

dents. Hardly were the words out of his mouth when **Jesse Jackson** materialized and told an audience of nearly 5,000 students they were not obligated to take any of Graglia's courses.

A Massachusetts appeals court ordered that **David Schaer**, a 20-year-old Brandeis student expelled for rape, be allowed to continue his suit for compensatory damages against the Jewish university for suspending him.

California initiatives are noted for their failure to be upheld in the courts and elsewhere. The latest, to be voted on next year, calls for banning same-sex marriages, a referendum which Mormons actively support. In an effort to neutralize the Latter Day Saints **queer groups** have sicced the IRS on them.

A romantic **American immigration agent** was caught smuggling her **Portuguese lover** over the Canadian border into the U.S. She was fined \$500 and given a year's probation. Her swain is awaiting deportation.

In Mason County (WA) an **18-year-old** pleaded guilty to raping and pummeling his 17-day-old daughter while her mother was asleep. He resented caring for the infant.

The **NAACP** has slapped a tourist boycott on South Carolina, a state where black travelers allegedly spend \$220 million yearly. Blacks proclaim the boycott will last until the state legislature orders the striking of the Confederate Flag which still flies proudly atop the state capitol. The NAACP purports to have 500,000 members and 2,200 branches.

In his speech at a Beverly Hills gala, libsymp **Warren Beatty** deliberately left out a crucial item in his brief, clownish bid for the presidency. He didn't tell the overwhelmingly pro-choice audience that he was pro-life, a moral stance he adopted after the birth of his several children.

The **G&L Bank** of Pensacola (FL) has 70 stockholders and is capitalized at \$19 million. G&L stand for Gay and Lesbian.

In Pleasanton (TX) black **Jeremiah Engleton** killed three white lawmen, then turned the gun on himself. Apparently this was not considered a hate crime.

Michael Jackson, whose face has been replaced by a permanent cosmetic mask, is shedding his second wife, **Debbie Rowe**, who bore him two children—or at least that's what the gloved one claims.

Talking Numbers

1.83 71 2 100.013 .03% -43 31425 7

27% of American adults have little or no confidence in states' courts; 58% little or no confidence in the media; 33% in the legislature; 22% in the local police; 25% in the public schools.

In the latest demographic guesstimate there will be 207.9 million whites in the U.S. in 2050; 53.6 million blacks; 96.5 million Hispanics; 32.4 million Asians; 3.5 million American Indians. Some Hispanics, but not too many, are blond.

According to a poll, New York Jews prefer Mayor Giuliani to Hillary, 46% to 43% in next year's Senate race. Jewish voters almost tie in regard to Bush and Gore (43% to 41%). In a Bradley-Bush contest, Jews went for leftist Bradley over middler Bush (59% to 27%).

In a Zogby poll of 1,000 Americans, aged 18 to 29, 52.3% of whites put their okay on the battered separate but equal doctrine. 40% of black respondents agreed. On the other hand, most respondents of both races had a "positive or neutral" response to the idea of interracial dating of family members. About 70% said they would feel comfortable at a concert where there were no other people of their own race.

Rumor has it that by the year 2010 Iraqis, Iraqis and North Koreans will be able to hit the U.S. heartland with nuclear missiles.

The most open-door of all open-door presidents, Bill Clinton, increased the number of refugees to be allowed into the U.S. in fiscal 2000 to 90,000, up from 78,000 in fiscal 1999.

If the out-of-control immigration into this country was not bad enough, the INS mistakenly printed 20,000 visas for foreigners with high-tech skills. The mistake was due to "miscommunication," said an INS official.

At the dedication of their highest temple, the Aztecs sacrificed between 20,000 and 80,000 peons.

3 close pals of Mayor Willie Brown of San Francisco pocketed \$2.6 million in fees for lobbying city officials.

When a billboard went up in Oakland offering \$200 to any female drug addict who agreed to be sterilized, angry locals

tore it down. The sponsor was a zany organization called C.R.A.C.K. (Children Requiring A Caring Kommunity). So far there have been 85 takers—36 whites, 34 blacks and 15 Latinos. The white woman who runs the group has adopted three pickaninnies.

In a 6-hour period from late night to early morning in September in Zoo City, 9 people were shot, 3 were stabbed, leaving 4 dead. Police made only 1 arrest.

In a 7-year money-raising campaign Harvard has raked in \$2.3 billion, by far the largest amount raised by any university. That amounts to \$1 million a day boasted Neil Rudenstine, Harvard's Jewish president. Biggest gift, \$70.5 million, came from John L. Loeb, class of '24. Rita Hauser, another Chosenite, and her husband, gave \$14.5 million. The university's annual budget is now \$1.8 billion. Current endowment is \$14.2 billion.

Total world population in 2050 is now projected to be 8.9 billion. 9.1% will be white.

Racism is everywhere. Now it's showing up in lung cancer operations. Black patients, it is claimed, don't get their fair share. No particular reason is given. No one dares suppose that blacks are dumber than whites when it comes to health monitoring. Could it be laziness which causes black women to get 25% fewer mammograms?

The October 4, 1999 issue of the New Republic had a cover featuring black kids. The contents were also heavily black-stacked. How many members of the New Republic staff are Negroes? What percentage of readers is black? These are interesting questions which Martin Peretz, the mag's Jewish owner is not likely to answer.

Federal grants of \$89 million will be devoted to fighting crime on Indian reservations. The grants will go to 144 tribes in 26 states. Also on the federal gravy train are Indian colleges which are due to receive \$30 million from various philanthropists to help with construction and renovation on the numerous reservations. Nothing was said about financing powwows.

The International Atomic Energy Agency states there were 508 nuclear "inci-

dents" between 1993 and 1999 in the world's 434 operating nuclear power plants.

In the New York City borough of Queens 120 lingo are spoken.

55% of sex discrimination cases heard in federal courts are won by plaintiffs; 47% won by plaintiffs in AIDS discrimination cases; 41% by plaintiffs in race discrimination cases.

73.2% of all white families own homes, compared to 45.8% of black and 44.9% of Hispanic families.

25% of the U.S. population now consists of minorities, which inspires lib-mins to proclaim America "a nation of minorities."

The world center of porn is the San Fernando Valley (CA). This year alone it has almost released 10,000 new video titles. Porn stars number about 1,600. Pornocrats claim that they provide 10,000 to 20,000 jobs. Altogether it's a bustling \$4.1 billion-a-year industry.

1.7 million immigrants have citizen applications pending. In 1996 Vice President Gore's office oversaw an election-year naturalization rush which added 180,000 to citizenship rolls—and most of whom, to Gore's delight, voted for demos.

In the first 9 months of this year, 18 states have executed 76 murderers. Texas headed the death parade with 25. Virginia was next with 11. Most other executionist states had only 1 or 2.

The hungriest part of the world is Central Africa where nearly 50% of the population is undernourished.

The 1990 Census skipped 2 million children. The 2000 Census may skip many more. Children, particularly minorities, are missed twice as often as adults. At least 3% of these kids will not be counted.

The largest percentage of Hispanic population growth from 1990 to 1998 was in Arkansas (149%).

Washington and Oregon top all other states in SAT scores. These states, it should be noted, have a high percentage of Nordics.

Number of unserved felony and misdemeanor warrants in California—2.5 million.

From Zip 782. On September 21, I had the misfortune to catch the egregious Geraldo and the disgusting Dershowitz as they ambushed Pat Buchanan on Rivera's nightly forum for liberal spoonfeeding. The Jewish-Latino's minority racism was appalling and the hatred that radiated from Dershowitz was truly something to behold. His face, in its various expressions of rage, was the mirror image of what I imagine Judas Iscariot's phiz must have looked like. The evil, malice and vituperation were palpable—and frightening. (So easy to imagine this man, drool dripping from his fevered lips, screaming, "Give us Barrabas!")

Downing Buchanan with every conceivable epithet, from "fascist" to the ubiquitous "anti-Semite," the ancient Jewish penchant for febrile acrimony reached new heights as Mr. Chutzpah's vitriol overflowed and deluged the TV screen. Easily one of liberalism's most fanatic representatives and a member of history's most intolerant race to boot, he actually had the nerve to try to transfer the intolerant label to Pat. Watching the little Marxist-in-equalitarian-clothing as he attacked a man who represents white Christian America and the remnants of its tattered values was a study in tribesmanship in action. The weasely Geraldo, sly and malicious as ever, got in his cheap shots as well, throwing one minority racist punch after the other. ("Oh, I see, Pat. . .because the media's controlled by Jews, right?" Nice big wolf grin on his puffy face.)

Semitic ferocity, combined with age-old networking, meant that Pat didn't have a chance to inhale a gulp of air before the carnivorous duo was at his throat again. (Bear in mind that Pat has never uttered the slightest anti-Semitic syllable, just criticized some of the Jews' more obnoxious smears.) Like spoiled children used to having their every caprice catered to, Jews fly into a rage at the slightest divergence from the kosher party line. ("Hey, we're in charge of this country! How dare you criticize what we want!") Dershowbizwitz, as Instauration's V.S. Stinger labels him, despises the Constitution whenever it works for anyone but the Chosen, openly advocated denying Buchanan his freedom of speech and expression. Dershowitz would have an apoplectic seizure if anyone tried to restrict these rights for him.

The lack of civility and decorum and the downright indecency must have awakened at least a few Gentiles to what happens when Jews are in charge, even if it's just a TV show. Dershowitz was touting his latest yawner, a novel called *Just Revenge* (ever notice how easy it is for them to get published and find a ready market?). Like the totalitarian-minded dogmatist that he is, Dershowitz ranted and raved without making the least attempt to back up his assertions. The more he talked, the more excited he became, often working himself into a frenzy. He even managed to toss a curse or two at Charles Lindbergh, a man 10,000 times better than himself.

Caught between these two megabuck media hatchet-men, Pat handled himself with decorum and quiet dignity. What a contrast between the two races, cultures and faiths they represented! What an abyss of disparity between the two mindsets!

In his autobiography of his life in Hollywood, *Wide-Eyed In Babylon*, Welsh actor Ray Milland referred to Hollywood gossip columnist Hedda Hopper as a "vomitous as-



sassin." I can't think of a more appropriate appellation for the unspeakable Alan Dershowitz.

From Zip 983. Charlie Rose's interview (Oct. 13) with black Harvard Professor Henry Louis Gates Jr. is a vivid example of racism. It zeroed in on the latter's PBS series on Negro civilization. No one has ever argued that blacks are incapable of learning a language and writing it. What has often been noted is that, with the exception of the Nilotic peoples, blacks never developed their own language. Prior to contact with others they had no written history or literature. Civilization is impossible without a written language. While doing his documentary, the professor stumbled across a moldering library of 50,000 books written in Arabic in Timbuktu in the period 1325-1591 while that remote city was a minor center of learning under Arab influence. For the poor befuddled Gates, this is a black cultural achievement, when obviously it proves no more than that blacks are capable of learning and using the language of another people! Rose enthusiastically agreed with every racist word the black uttered.

From Zip 110. One way to end this racial infighting in the entertainment industry is to have different networks for different races, but that will never happen. The relationship between blacks and TV is a parasitic one. Take away the host and the parasites die.

From Zip 244. I flipped on Fox cable news at midnight: "Stay tuned for our round-the-clock coverage of the Kennedy tragedy." Amazing how five minutes of news can expand to 24 hours, while the latest about Chinese espionage is ignored!

From Zip 220. I never miss *Style* with Elsa Klensch on CNN. The models in their see-through dresses are ultra-sexy, but the rationale behind the designs is so ridiculous it's funny. One collection, based on the "sexiness of the fish," featured models walking backwards.

CNN says the Bosnian fracas has cost us over \$9 billion with no end in sight. Whatever one thinks of interventionism, it costs!



Waspishly Yours

How could such a “hoax” as the Holocaust have been perpetrated against an unsuspecting public? Because we were unsuspecting? Because we felt sorry for the Chosen? Because we had our noses rubbed in the Shoah for half a century?

There’s something strange going on. Chosenites do not accept Christianity. That’s fine. They think it’s all a pack of lies, at best a mess of misguided myths. That’s also fine. Bias often blinds one to the truth. Old Testament Elisha raised people from the dead, but those who believe in the resurrection of Jesus are jerks. Okay. According to the muddy Talmud, Jesus was a bastard. Does that make Mary a prostitute? Did Jesus really walk on water?

Wait a minute! Didn’t Moses part the Red Sea? And didn’t Elijah also ascend bodily into heaven in a chariot of fire? And didn’t Elijah and his prophet-protégé Elisha also raise people from the dead? So why couldn’t Jesus walk on water, like a skimming water bug? If Ezekiel could see flying saucers spinning in the sky, why couldn’t the Magi have been guided to Jesus in the manger by a celestial object? Why do modern Chosenites accept the hocuspocus in their Holy Book, but reject the hocuspocus connected to Jesus? Because the kingdom of Jesus was “not of this world,” and they very much are?

What Jews were mainly looking for was a military messiah who would free them from the yoke of Rome. And since Chosenites also have such a predilection for multiplying loaves and fishes on Wall Street, and such a fondness for manipulating numbers, does that also explain how 75,000 corpses at Auschwitz magically escalated into four million, like those magically multiplying loaves and fishes in the Good Book? If Chosenites claim that Christians can’t even remember their roots, that the roots of their religion are fictional and confused, how come Chosenites claim the survivors of Auschwitz have infallible memories?

Jewish logic is a mystery to me, but I think I’ve cracked the Cabalistic code. The revelation translates into a meditation on Christmas. Chosenites claim we should believe their account of the Holocaust because they were there. There were witnesses. How can you make a “hoax” out of that, even if most of the “evidence” was provided by the Soviets? Do Chosenites make the most objective witnesses to what happened?

Why then don’t Chosenites accept Christianity? Is it a 2,000-year-old hoax? Weren’t there also witnesses when Jesus walked on H₂O? Weren’t there 5,000 witnesses when Jesus fed them with a few loaves and fishes? Weren’t there witnesses to Christ’s resurrection? Weren’t there witnesses when Jesus brought Lazarus back to life? Weren’t there witnesses when the Chosen chose Barabbas?

That’s my point. That’s what really troubles me, not the numerical details, not the commercialization of Christmas, but the commercialization of the Holocaust, its exploitation for monetary gain, and the hype to intimidate critics of Israel into silence.

We should return everything the Nazis plundered from the AshkeNazis, after the AshkeNazis have returned everything they have plundered in Palestine. Since Elisha did it, maybe the AshkeNazis can even resuscitate all those they murdered at Deir Yassin and on the *U.S.S. Liberty*. I don’t think that’s asking too much. Consider it a little present for Christmas or Hanukkah, if you prefer. Nevermind the Oslo accords, which the Ashkenazis have already reneged on. Nevermind the Wye agreement which they are busily undercutting by cutting new roads connecting Chosenite settlements on the West Bank. Nevermind all those other promises which they never had any intention of implementing.

Like their prophets did of old, let Jews resurrect a few of the tens of thousands they have murdered—just as a down payment on their new promises. A covenant, as it were. After that, maybe we’ll be able to believe it when they claim that they are ready to move on to more difficult matters—like returning even a fraction of the land they have stolen in Palestine, and/or paying for all the private property they have filched.

According to Jews, Christianity is a lie—a hoax, if you will—trumped up by Hebrew heretics who sold out to the Gentiles, after most of the Hebrews of Jerusalem rejected the claims of Jesus and His followers. Now if Christianity can be a monstrous worldwide scam perpetrated by heretic Hebrews upon gullible Gentiles, why can’t a Holocaust also be a hoax? Is raising the dead any more incredible than multiplying the number of dead loaves and fishes in the Holocaust?

Jesus only multiplied a few loaves and fishes to feed the 5,000. But the Chosen have multiplied 75,000 dead at Auschwitz into four million. Is it any wonder the Chosen scorn to become Christians? If Yahweh can multiply better than your crucified Messiah, why should I follow the latter? If my mythical messiah (still not on the scene), can reclaim Palestine and conquer the U. S. Congress merely on the strength of a promissory note already long overdue by at least 2,000 years, and all you can manage to conquer is K-Mart and one square mile called Vatican City, why should I convert to your sacrificial table of multiplication, so inferior to mine?

V.S. STINGER



Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

The reality of race is constantly underlined by affirmative action programmes and quotas of all kinds. This means that the vast majority of people are able quickly to classify the racial origin of most people they meet, even if they are of mixed race, like “La Raza” in Mexico, which has lots of Indian genes.

“Liberals” claim that there is no reality in race, because subspecies always overlap to some extent and the resulting crossbreeds are impossible to classify. In the Dominican Republic the mixed types form an unstable group of their own, but in the U.S. all those with an admixture of Congoid genes are classified as black. The whole population of North America is attuned to the slightest amount of black genes.

So the next time someone denies the reality or authenticity of race, just ask him why every Majority member is expected to recognise immediately any minorityite he meets, including Jews. What is sensitivity training but training to recognize the physical and psychological differences between the races? The intention here is to make you feel guilty and therefore much more manipulable, but it is race-recognition training all the same. Hence questions like, “How could you have said a thing like that? Couldn’t you see that he/she was an Hispanic?”

While promoting racist programmes to benefit those “challenged” by their genetic inheritance, our liberal opponents deny the reality of race, on account of the existence of intermediate types which are impossible to classify as belonging to one of the of the basic races. The so-called Hamites of East Africa (Ethiopians, Somalis and Eritreans) are basically Euroid groups with an admixture of black genes. Subspecies always overlap with one another, however different they may be, because they can interbreed. Evolution, which requires that subspecies evolve into separate species, depends on the marginalisation of the unstable intermediate groups.

The real evil of slavery is that it leads, sooner or later, to miscegenation with the slaves. “The Yellow Rose of Texas” was a high yellow deliberately bred so that she might be immeasurably more attractive than the run-of-the-mill Negroid slaves:

*There’s a Yellow Rose in Texas
That I’m a gonna see,
No other Darkie knows her,
No Darkie, only me. . .*


I fear that the “Darkie” was just a white troubadour in black face. So he idealised the nearest thing to a white girl among the slaves. His song remains quintessentially melodious and non-syncopated. It is a white man’s song. Similarly the command “Show hands” among the card players on the Mississippi riverboats was a sure way to detect those with black genes (a telltale purple colour showed through their fingernails).

Dominant genes tend to take over in the first generation, while recessive ones reappear down the line. In a racially mixed population the characteristics of race are to be seen in very mixed individuals, just as they are in “pure” ones. On the island of St. Helena, for example, where whites, blacks and Mongolids have interbred over a long period of time, the recessive alleles have ceased to be masked by the dominant ones. Consequently all the alleles may become deleterious in the resulting population. It’s a dismal picture—people with striped skin and other signs of genetic imbalance, such as unharmonious features and minds. Now the British Labour government intends to allow these types to mix with the British!

Most races known today result from a mixture of races in the past. This is true of the Nordic as of the modern Japanese. But over the millennia the more extreme racial characteristics have been bred out or else their representatives have been differentially restrained from breeding.

Occasionally in all races, but especially in the less intelligent ones, individuals occur who reflect the survival of very primitive genetic combinations. The phenomenon can occur even among Nordics. But there are far more extreme examples, which make one think immediately of Stan Gooch’s book, *The Neanderthal Question*, in which he argues that the Jews have a significant Neanderthal admixture that accounts for their differences. Jewish activists have quietly put the kibosh on this book wherever they can find it, especially by failing to return it to libraries.

The physical classification of race was ably established by the late Carleton Coon of Harvard and his German predecessors. His claim that modern Negroes and Australids are basically types of *Homo erectus* which have been slowly hominised by interbreeding with Euroids and Mongolids, respectively, is still a likely hypothesis. Hominisation seems to have originated in Africa and spread over the rest of the world. But it was spread by interbreeding with *Homo erectus*, who did not just disappear, any more than the more evolved Neanderthal did.





Canada. *From a subscriber.* The coastal waters of British Columbia were the focus of media attention in August and September as three rustbucket ships from the Orient attempted to dump hundreds of illegal Chinese, male and female, on Canada's shores. Intercepted by the Coast Guard and brought ashore, the illegals had nothing to say until a pack of immigration lawyers got to them. Then, parrot-like, they all claimed refugee status and whined they could not go back to China for fear of punishment. A fourth ship may have unloaded its human cargo on a remote shore and dashed back out to sea undetected. In line with Canada's liberal immigration laws, so-called refugees can stay for two years while awaiting a hearing before a Refugee Appeal Board. During this time they will be housed, fed, given free legal aid and provided with medical and dental care—all at taxpayers' expense.

Local talk shows were ablaze with calls from outraged citizens, while one immigration officer on the scene, a bearded Chosenite who looked like a 19th-century Polish rabbi, declared that Canada must abide by the UN Charter on Refugees and could not deport any person without a proper hearing. Even Red Chinese government officials have stated that these illegals should be sent back, that Canada is foolish to let them in. Meanwhile the word has gone out to the Third World: "Get to Canada any way you can and you will be taken in." Better still, Canada is only a hop, skip and jump from the U.S. To many Canadians the migratory flux recalls the scenario of Jean Raspail's prophetic novel, *The Camp of the Saints*.

In a trip to Ottawa in early October, Clinton made a strong pitch for Canadian federation, meaning that Quebec should give up fighting for its independence. Quebecois were not too happy about the head of one country messing in the affairs of a neighboring province.

"Snake-head" is the name given to the large people-smuggling operation carried out by Chinese criminals. Illegals pay large sums of money to be ferried across the Pacific on leaking hulks. Once in Canada they are usually recruited by local Chinese gangs to work as drug dealers or prostitutes. Having slipped across the border to live the good life in the U.S., few ever show up for their Appeal Board

hearing. Word has it that as long as the Pacific remains storm-free, more boatloads of illegals will be on their way here. Further bad news: Canada's newly-appointed Immigration Minister is a lady named Elinor Caplan.

Prime Minister Jean Chrétien has appointed Robert Rabinovitch to the presidency of the Canadian Broadcasting Co. Rabinovitch is head of Claridge Inc., a superrich holding company for the Bronfman family. Rabinovitch is a close friend of Eddy Goldenberg, often called "the PM's closest adviser." It would be more accurate to describe Eddy as Chrétien's "Zionist keeper."

Two propatria public meetings were held in Canada in September. One opposing immigration took place in a public library in Victoria. Attendance of the second, a fundraiser for Doug Collins, met in a Vancouver library. In Victoria 100 protesters tried to break up the meeting. They failed. The speakers managed to speak despite the catervauling. At the second gathering 60 protesters broke through police barricades, bellowing so loudly it was difficult to hear what Doug Christie, Doug Collins and Paul Fromm were trying to say. It's not a giant step from preventing people from hearing to preventing people from living.

A *Canadian Instaurationist's communiqué to the Brooklyn Museum of Art*. As your museum is evidently receptive to art of the iconoclastic—and even emetic—variety, I'm wondering whether you'd care to exhibit some of the "art" I'm currently working on. One, richly symbolic of millennial anxieties, is a painting of three rabbis being sodomized by SS men on the back of a dead mammoth. I recognize that such a concept is not entirely original. It echoes a similar "art" involving Jesus and other Christian figures. Remember critic Clement Greenberg's words to young painters: "You gotta paint stripes! *Everybody's* doing stripes these days!" So they did. Monkey tell, monkey do. If avant-gardists these days are into perversity and what one of them has called the "gloriousness of putrefaction," we are supposed to go with the flow—even if, as some would say, it's flowing down a sewer. "The trend is your friend!" as they say on the stock market. If Vasari's *Art of the Beautiful* is now passé, what's left to us but an *Art of the Ugly*?

Britain. The London Times reported that Brits are to be allowed to import human sperm. The source country is Denmark. We're happy to hear this. Brits are getting so soft they need a genetic jolt. They should be thankful that the sperm doesn't come from Rwanda.

Dr. Steve Jones, ballyhooed by the London Weekly Telegraph as "Britain's leading geneticist," asserts that 20% of Brits have black genes. Gloating diversifiers consider it magnificent news. It's not a shocker for Instaurationists. The way the British are acting these days, one would guess that at least half their genes have an ebony shine.

Nick Griffin, new boss of the British National Party who recently edged out longtime leader John Tyndall, has been accused of having a longtime homo affair with Martin Webster, an old National Front dropout. True or not, this isn't a good start for Griffin's tenure. The new BNP leader, by the way, has been married for 14 years and has 4 children.

Americans who want to learn more about the BNP should contact an outfit called American Friends of the BNP, which has set up shop in Virginia and puts out a newsletter, *Heritage and Destiny*. If you want to keep abreast of what is going on in the Sceptred Isle, write to P.O. Box 1746, Falls Church, VA 22041-1746.

Brits are finally getting into the swing of things. On January 27, Y2K, and every January 27 thereafter, Britain will be celebrating Holocaust Remembrance Day.

Thanks to Tony Blair's obsessive equalitarianism, the reconstituted House of Lords will have 92 hereditary lords and 567 life peers. This represents a 50% cut in the number of those who inherited their titles.

One of the new life peers is Michael Levy, a graduate of London's East End ghetto who earned his piles of pounds by running a cacophonous pop music company. A close friend and earbender of Blair, he has showered the Prime Minister and New Labour with campaign money. It was Levy, fancier of a mountainous hairdo, thick gold rings and a Bentley, that organized the Jewish moneybags who set up a blind trust to handle the finances of New Labour's victory at the polls.

A play, *Corpus Christi*, by American homo playwright Terrence McNally, has inspired Muslim clerics to issue a fatwa



against him. Any Muslim who runs into him in a Muslim country is duty bound to execute him on the spot. The playwright has transmogrified Jesus and Judas into a pair of lovers and depicted Christ as "King of the Queers."

Switzerland. The Swiss People's Party made a significant gain in the recent election, jumping from 15% to 20% of the vote. Under the leadership of Christoph Blocher, a tough anti-immigrationist, it is now the country's second largest party. Blocher wants to reserve Switzerland for the Swiss, 20% of the population now being non-Swiss. Some of the party's gain is the result of the way Switzerland has been pilloried by world Jewry, which has forced the Swiss to cough up more than \$1.2 billion to pay off Holocaust survivors, real and fictional. International Jewry threatened boycotts of Swiss products if the money was not forthcoming.

Germany. Regina Halmich won the title of Woman's World's Junior Flyweight Champion boxer in Stuttgart, Germany, some months ago. The loser was "The Zion Lion," Jill Matthews, a self-designated American Jewess who commented, "I single out everyone as a Jew hater. . . I think that anyone who has a chance to get the Jews will get the Jews." How wrong she is.

Austria. The Jewish campaign against Austria continues apace. The Israelis can't stand the thought that an authentic right-wing party, the Freedom Party of Jörg Haider, won second place in the recent elections. The Zionists are vigorously playing the anti-Semitic card they wield so dexterously. David Levy, Israel's Foreign Minister, announced he was "revolted" by the results of the election and warned that if any cabinet seats are given to the Freedom Party, Israel will "reassess" its dealings with Austria.

It all goes to show that the Zionist state is becoming more and more of a factor in world affairs. By threatening Austria, Israel is once again demonstrating its determination to become the world's policeman in regard to Jewish matters. The question is, how far will this meddling go? To the point of aiming the cruise missiles carried by its new German-built submarines at the capital of any country that seems to be tilting towards anti-Semitism?

Israel. If any Jew who runs afoul of the law in any country is able to make it

to Israel, he will be protected from extradition. Samuel Sheinbein was handed a 24-year sentence for his part in the grisly murder of a young Hispanic man in Maryland, where he would have been given life, possibly even death, for his crime. Instead, after serving two-thirds of his 24-year sentence, he can be free on parole. Altogether not a bad deal for a killer.

The Israeli government has deported 21 Christians, including 13 Americans, for making a lot of noise in their attempts, largely unsuccessful, to convert Jews to Christianity. The headline (USA Today, Oct. 26) announcing the mass deportation screamed: ISRAEL ORDERS RELIGIOUS GROUPS OUT. Since all the members of the groups were Christians, it's a wonder the headline didn't say so. Instauration believes it knows why.

Russia. We've heard a lot about Russians laundering oodles of dollars. Yet the first people to be indicted for this crime are not Russians but two American Jews, Peter Berliner and his wife (born Lyudmila Pritzker in Leningrad). They were charged with laundering \$1.7 billion through the once respected Bank of New York.

Ukraine. *From a subscriber.* This newly independent country is heavily controlled by the Russian (which is to say the Soviet Jewish) Mafia. Under investigation in Belgium, Germany, Switzerland and the U.S. is Olexander Volkov, main adviser to President Leonid Kuchma, for transferring and kosherizing (laundering) millions of dollars. Volkov was in charge of carving up Ukraine's industry and distributing ownership to his associates and pals. His chief accomplice is one Boris Birshtein, friend of Sergei Mikhailov, whom the Russian interior ministry identified as the "leader of the *Solntsevskaya* criminal syndicate. The FBI reported that Birshtein hosted "a summit meeting of Russian organized crime figures" at his office in Tel Aviv in 1995.

Balkans. *From a subscriber.* Under the watchful but acquiescent eyes of the U.S./UN occupation forces, the ethnic cleansing of the Christian Serbs by the Albanians has almost been concluded in Kosovo. Soon a Greater Islamic Albania will be established and the millions of diaspora Muslims in France, Germany and the rest of Europe will have a slice of Europe to call their own. With NATO's prece-

dent-setting intervention in Kosovo and subsequent founding of a Greater Islamic State in Europe (most Christian Serbs having fled) Russia now feels freer to intervene in Chechnya, Dagestan or wherever it feels its interests are threatened.

Uganda. President Yoweri Museveni has ordered the arrest of all homosexuals living in his country, accusing them of "abominable acts."

South Africa. The leading black cricketer, Makhaya Ntini, was arrested and charged with raping a 21-year-old female college student (race unspecified). Ntini is that rarity, a black cricket star in a sport that is composed almost entirely of whites, even in South Africa.

In crime- and disease-ridden black-run South Africa, formerly one of the most civilized African countries, rape and AIDS are so common and so uncontrolled that insurance companies now offer women a policy providing for a one-month course of anti-retroviral drugs, a year's worth of AIDS tests and a few stints of counseling. About one in eight adults in South Africa is now infected with HIV, with 1,500 new cases a day. Some 64,000 women and girls are raped each year. The antiwhite policies of the U.S. and Britain are partly responsible for this return to barbarism.

Cuba. Understanding where the power lies, Fidel Castro, in a move to induce Washington to lift its economic embargo, has announced that Cuban Jews are free to leave for Israel at any time. Already 400 Cuban Jews have emigrated, leaving 1,300 on the Pearl of the Antilles. Hundreds of the remaining Jews have already announced their intention to move. Many Cuban Chosenites initially supported Fidel's 1959 revolution, but have since become disaffected.

Argentina. Ex-Senator Alphonse D'Amato (R-NY), although he lost his Senate seat to Jewish racist Charles Schumer, continues to act as a leading Jewish gofer. At the behest of the B'nai B'rith he went to Argentina to investigate the collapse of three Jewish banks and the sale of a fourth to non-Jews. D'Amato quickly earned his money by claiming it all smelled of anti-Semitism. He is now pressuring Argentine banking authorities to bring the Jewish banks back to life or at least make up for the losses suffered by the mainly Jewish depositors. Pedro Pou, the leading Argentine banker, says that there is no need to have ethnic banks in his country.